



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

[CMS-2384-N]

RIN 0938-AR46

Medicaid Program; Disproportionate Share Hospital Allotments and Institutions for Mental Diseases Disproportionate Share Hospital Limits for FYs 2010, 2011, and Preliminary FY 2012 Disproportionate Share Hospital Allotments and Limits

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the final Federal share disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotments for Federal FY (FY) 2010, 2011 and the preliminary Federal share DSH allotments for FY 2012. This notice also announces the final FY 2010, 2011 and the preliminary FY 2012 limits on aggregate DSH payments that States may make to institutions for mental diseases (IMD) and other mental health facilities. In addition, this notice includes background information describing the methodology for determining the amounts of States' FY DSH allotments.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This notice is effective on **[OFR--Insert date 30 days after date of publication in the Federal Register]**. The final allotments and limitations set forth in this notice are effective for the fiscal years specified.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Strauss, (410) 786-2019.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Under section 1923(f)(3) of the Social Security Act (the Act), States' Federal fiscal year

(FY) 2003 disproportionate share hospital (DSH) allotments were calculated by increasing the amounts of the FY 2002 allotments for each State (as specified in the chart, entitled "DSH Allotment (in millions of dollars)", contained in section 1923(f)(2) of the Act) by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for the prior fiscal year. The allotment, determined in this way, is subject to the limitation that an increase to a State's DSH allotment for a FY cannot result in the DSH allotment exceeding the greater of the State's DSH allotment for the previous FY or 12 percent of the State's total medical assistance expenditures for the allotment year (this is referred to as the 12 percent limit).

However, section 1001(a) of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (Pub. L. 108-173, enacted on December 8, 2003) (MMA) amended section 1923(f)(3) of the Act to provide for a "Special, Temporary Increase In Allotments On A One-Time, Non-Cumulative Basis." Under this provision, States' FY 2004 DSH allotments were determined by increasing their FY 2003 allotments by 16 percent and the FY DSH allotment amounts determined were not subject to the 12 percent limit.

Also, under section 1923(h) of the Act, Federal financial participation (FFP) is not available for DSH payments to institutions for mental diseases (IMDs) and other mental health facilities that are in excess of State-specific aggregate limits. Under this provision, this aggregate limit for DSH payments to IMDs and other mental health facilities is the lesser of a State's FY 1995 total computable (State and Federal share) IMD and other mental health facility DSH expenditures applicable to the State's FY 1995 DSH allotment (as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997), or the amount equal to the product of the State's current year total computable DSH allotment and the applicable percentage.

In general, we initially determine States' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for a FY using estimates of medical assistance expenditures, including DSH expenditures in their

Medicaid programs. These estimates are provided by States each year on the August quarterly Medicaid budget reports (Form CMS-37) before the FY for which the DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits are being determined. Also, as part of the basic determination of preliminary DSH allotments for a FY, we use the available CPI-U percentage increase that is available before the beginning of the FY for which the allotment is being determined to determine the preliminary FY DSH allotment. For example, in determining the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotment, we would apply the CPI-U percentage increase for FY 2011 that was available just before the beginning of FY 2012 on October 1, 2011.

Section 5002 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. Law 111-5, enacted on February 17, 2009) (Recovery Act), added a new section 1923(f)(3)(E) of the Act; that provided fiscal relief to States during the recent national economic downturn. In that regard, section 1923(f)(3)(E)(i)(I) of the Act, as created by section 5002 of the Recovery Act, required that, in general, States' DSH allotments for FY 2009 be equal to 102.5 percent of the FY 2009 allotments that would otherwise have been determined; this Recovery Act provision does not apply to certain States.

For a detailed description of the background of this notice, please refer to “Final FY 2009 and Preliminary FY 2011 Disproportionate Share Hospital Allotments, and Final FY 2009 and Preliminary FY 2011 Institutions for Mental Diseases Disproportionate Share Hospital Limits” notice published in the January 3, 2011 **Federal Register** (76 FR 148).

II. Provisions of the Notice

A. Calculation of the Final FY 2010, Final FY 2011 Federal Share State DSH Allotments, and the Preliminary FY 2012 Federal Share State DSH Allotments

1. Final FY 2010 and FY 2011 Federal Share State DSH Allotments

Chart 1 and Chart 2 of the Addendum to this notice provides the States' final FY 2010 and final FY 2011 DSH allotments, respectively. As described in the previous **Federal Register** notices in determining non-Low DSH States' DSH allotments for FYs after FY 2004 under section 1923(f)(3)(C) of the Act for DSH allotments, we determined States' DSH allotments under a "parallel" process. Under the parallel process, for each FY for each State, we determine whether the fiscal year specified (as defined in section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act) has occurred. Section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act describes the fiscal year specified is determined separately for each State and "is the first FY for which the Secretary estimates that the DSH allotment for that State will equal (or no longer exceed) the DSH allotment for that State under the law as in effect before the date of enactment" of MMA. The process in effect before the enactment in MMA is the process described in section 1923(f)(3)(A) of the Act; in this process each States' DSH allotment since FY 2003 is increased by the CPI-U increase for the prior FY and the result is then compared to the State's FY 2004 DSH allotment, as determined by section 1923(f)(3)(C)(i) of the Act. The fiscal year specified for a State is the FY when the FY 2004 allotment is no longer greater than the parallel process DSH allotment.

In accordance to the parallel process provision, we determined that FY 2009 was the fiscal year specified for all non-Low DSH States (except Louisiana). Therefore, in section 1923(f)(3)(C)(ii) of the Act, the Final FY 2009 DSH allotment for all non-Low DSH States (except Louisiana) is equal to the prior FY 2008 DSH allotment increased by the CPI-U increase for FY 2008 (4.4 percent).

Chart 1 contains the final FY 2010 DSH allotments and Chart 2 contains the final FY 2011 DSH allotments. For the non-Low DSH States for which the FY 2009 is the fiscal year specified, that fiscal year and for following fiscal years, the FY DSH allotments are calculated by increasing the prior FY DSH allotment by the CPI-U increase for the prior fiscal year.

For Low-DSH States, the FY 2009 DSH allotments were calculated using the same methodology as for the non-Low DSH States for which the fiscal year specified has occurred. That is, for FY 2009 and following FYs, the DSH allotment for Low-DSH States is calculated by increasing the prior FY DSH allotment by the percentage change in the CPI-U for the prior fiscal year.

As discussed in the “Background” section of this notice, under section 5002 of the Recovery Act, the preliminary FY 2010 DSH allotment was determined as the higher of 102.5 percent of the FY 2009 DSH allotment (as determined under the Recovery Act) or the FY 2010 DSH allotment as would have been determined without application of the Recovery Act provisions. Accordingly, the preliminary FY 2010 DSH allotments were initially determined using the States' August 2009 expenditure estimates submitted by the States on the Form CMS-37, and the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the previous FY that was available before the beginning of FY 2010. Then, this amount was compared to the DSH allotment amount equal to 102.5 percent of the FY 2009 DSH allotments as determined under the Recovery Act provisions. For all applicable states the Recovery Act provision resulted in a higher FY 2010 DSH allotment.

The final FY 2011 DSH allotments were determined by first determining the FY 2010 DSH allotments as they would have been calculated without application of the Recovery Act provisions. That is, first the amount of the final FY 2010 DSH allotments were determined by adjusting the amount of the final FY 2009 DSH allotments (also determined without application of the Recovery Act provisions) by the CPI-U percentage increase for FY 2009; this final FY 2010 DSH allotment amount (determined without application of the Recovery Act provisions) was then increased by the CPI-U percentage increase for FY 2010 to determine the final FY 2011 DSH allotments contained in this notice.

2. Calculation of the Preliminary FY 2012 Federal Share State DSH Allotments.

Chart 3 of the Addendum to this notice provides the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments determined in accordance with the section 1923(f)(3) of the Act. As described in the “Background” section of the January 3, 2011 **Federal Register** (76 FR 148) notice, the Recovery Act provisions which increased States' DSH allotments for FY 2009 and FY 2010 are not applicable for determining States' FY 2012 DSH allotments and following fiscal years. That is, the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments were determined using States' estimates of FY 2012 expenditures and increasing the FY 2011 allotments by the percentage increase in the CPI-U for FY 2011. States' final FY 2012 DSH allotments will be published in the **Federal Register** following receipt of the States' four quarterly Medicaid expenditure reports (Form CMS-64) for FY 2012 following the end of FY 2012.

B. Calculation of the Final FY 2010, the Final FY 2011, and the Preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits

Section 1923(h) of the Act specifies the methodology to be used to establish the limits on the amount of DSH payments that a State can make to IMDs and other mental health facilities. FFP is not available for IMD or DSH payments that exceed the IMD limits. In this notice, we are publishing the final FY 2010, the final FY 2011, and the preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits determined in accordance with the provisions discussed above, and for FY 2010 reflecting the DSH allotments for the FY determined under the provisions of section 1923(f)(3)(E) of the Act, as amended by section 5002 of the Recovery Act.

Charts 4, 5, and 6 of the “Addendum” to this notice detail each State's final FY 2010, final FY 2011, and preliminary FY 2011 IMD DSH Limits, respectively, determined in accordance with section 1923(h) of the Act

III. Collection of Information Requirements

This notice does not impose any new or revised information collection or recordkeeping requirements. The requirements and burden associated with CMS-37 (OMB 0938-0101) are unaffected by this notice. Consequently, this notice and CMS-37 are not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

IV. Regulatory Impact Statement

We have examined the impact of this notice as required by Executive Order 12866 on Regulatory Planning and Review (September 1993), the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (September 19, 1980, Pub. L. 96-354), section 1102(b) of the Act, section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (March 22, 1995; Pub. L. 104-4), Executive Order 13132 on Federalism (August 4, 1999) and the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 804(2)).

Executive Order 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). A regulatory impact analysis (RIA) must be prepared for major rules with economically significant effects (\$100 million or more in any 1 year). This notice does not reach the \$100 million economic threshold and thus is not considered a major rule under the Congressional Review Act.

There are no changes between the final FY 2010 DSH allotments and FY 2010 IMD DSH limits and the preliminary FY 2010 DSH allotments and FY 2010 IMD DSH limits published in the April 23, 2010 **Federal Register** (75 FR 21314).

The final FY 2011 DSH allotments being published in this notice are approximately \$10 million less than the preliminary FY 2011 DSH allotments published in the January 3, 2011

Federal Register (76 FR 148). The final FY 2011 IMD DSH limits being published in this notice are approximately \$1 million less than the preliminary FY 2011 IMD DSH limits published in the January 3, 2011 **Federal Register** on (76 FR 148). The decrease in the FY 2011 DSH allotments are due to the difference between the final percentage change in the CPI-U for FY 2010 used in the calculation of the final FY 2011 allotments (1.7 percent) as compared to the estimated percentage change in the CPI-U for FY 2010 used in the calculation of the preliminary allotments (1.8 percent). The decreases in the IMD DSH limits are because the DSH allotment for a FY is a factor in the determination of the IMD DSH limit for the FY, and since the final FY 2011 DSH allotments were decreased as compared to the preliminary FY 2011 DSH allotments, the associated FY 2011 IMD DSH limits for some States were also decreased.

The preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments being published in this notice are about \$64 million more than the final FY 2011 DSH allotments being published in the **Federal Register**. The preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH limits being published in this notice are about \$11 million more than the final FY 2011 IMD DSH limits being published in the **Federal Register**. The increase in the DSH allotments is due to the application of the statutory formula for calculating DSH allotments under which the prior fiscal year allotments are increased by the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior fiscal year. The increase in the IMD DSH limits is because the DSH allotment for a FY is a factor in the determination of the IMD DSH limit for the FY, and since the preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments were greater than the final FY 2011 DSH allotments, the associated FY 2012 IMD DSH limits for some States also increased.

The RFA requires agencies to analyze options for regulatory relief of small businesses, if a rule has a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. For purposes of the RFA, small entities include small businesses, nonprofit organizations, and small governmental

jurisdictions. Most hospitals and most other providers and suppliers are small entities, either by nonprofit status or by having revenues of \$7.0 million to \$34.5 million in any one year.

Individuals and States are not included in the definition of a small entity. We are not preparing an analysis for the RFA because the Secretary has determined that this notice will not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Specifically, the effects of the various controlling statutes on providers are not impacted by a result of any independent regulatory impact and not this notice. The purpose of the notice is to announce the latest distributions as required by the statute.

In addition, section 1102(b) of the Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis if a rule may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. This analysis must conform to the provisions of section 604 of the RFA. For purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital that is located outside of a Core-Based Statistical Area for Medicaid payment regulations and has fewer than 100 beds.

We are not preparing analysis for section 1102(b) of the Act because the Secretary has determined that this notice will not have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals.

The Medicaid statute specifies the methodology for determining the amounts of States' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits; and as described previously, results in the decreases or increases in States' DSH allotments and IMD DSH limits for the FYs referred to. The statute applicable to these allotments and limits does not apply to the determination of the amounts of DSH payments made to specific DSH hospitals; rather, these allotments and limits represent an overall limit on the total of such DSH payments. In this regard, we do not believe that this notice will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 also requires that agencies

assess anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule whose mandates require spending in any 1 year of \$100 million in 1995 dollars, updated annually for inflation. In 2012, that threshold is approximately \$139 million. This notice will have no consequential effect on State, local, or tribal governments, in the aggregate, or on the private sector.

Executive Order 13132 establishes certain requirements that an agency must meet when it promulgates a proposed rule (and subsequent final rule) that imposes substantial direct requirement costs on State and local governments, preempts State law, or otherwise has Federalism implications. Since this notice does not impose any costs on State or local governments, the requirements of E.O. 13132 are not applicable.

Alternatives Considered

The methodologies for determining the States' fiscal year DSH allotments and IMD DSH Limits, as reflected in this notice, were established in accordance with the methodologies and formula for determining States' allotments as specified in statute. This notice does not put forward any further discretionary administrative policies for determining such allotments.

Accounting Statement

As required by OMB Circular A-4 (available at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/circulars/a004/a-4.pdf>), in the table below, we have prepared an accounting statement showing the classification of the estimated expenditures associated with the provisions of this notice. This table provides our best estimate of the change (decrease) in the Federal share of States' Medicaid DSH payments resulting from the application of the provisions of the Medicaid statute relating to the calculation of States' FY DSH allotments and the increase in the FY DSH allotments from FY 2010 to FY 2011.

Table -- Accounting Statement: Classification of Estimated Expenditures, from the FY 2010 to FY 2012 (in Millions)	
Category	TRANSFERS
Annualized Monetized Transfers	\$54
From Whom To Whom?	Federal Government to States

In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this notice was reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

CMS-2384-N

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.778, Medical Assistance Program)

Dated: May 14, 2012

Marilyn Tavenner,
Acting Administrator,
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid
Services.

Dated: June 11, 2012

Kathleen Sebelius,
Secretary

BILLING CODE 4120-01-P

Addendum

This addendum contains the charts 1 through 6 (preceded by associated keys) that are referred to in the preamble of this notice.

Key to Chart 1. Final DSH Allotments for FY 2010.

Key to Chart 1.— FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2010 The Final FY 2010 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Final FY 2010 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart.	
Column	Description
Column A	State.
Column B	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met. This column indicates whether the “FY Specified” has occurred with respect to Non-Low DSH States, determined in accordance with section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act. “YES” indicates the FY Specified has occurred; “NOT MET” indicates that the FY Specified has not occurred; and “na” indicates that this provision is not applicable. This provision is not applicable for Low-DSH States indicated in the bottom portion of chart 1
Columns C-N	For all States, the entries in Columns B through K present the determination of the final FY 2010 DSH allotments as would be calculated <u>without</u> the application of section 1923(f)(3)(E) of the Act as amended by section 5002 of ARRA. For all States, the entries in Columns L through N present the calculation of the final FY 2010 DSH Allotments, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 5002 of ARRA. For Non-Low DSH States indicated in the top portion of Chart 1, entries in Columns C through K are only for States meeting the “FY Specified” test (“YES” in Column B). For States not meeting the test indicated in Column B, these Columns indicate "na", and for States for which such test is not applicable, these Columns indicate "na". For Low DSH States, entries are in the bottom portion of Chart 1
Column C	FY 2010 FMAPS. This column contains the States’ FY 2009 Federal Medical Assistance Percentages.
Column D	FY 2009 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test. This column contains the States’ prior FY 2009 DSH Allotments.
Column E	FY 2009 Allotments X (1 + Percentage Increase in CPI-U): 1.00. This column contains the amount in Column D increased by 1 plus the

<p>Key to Chart 1.— FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2010</p> <p>The Final FY 2010 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the</p> <p>Final FY 2010 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart.</p>	
Column F	<p>percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior FY (0.0 percent).</p> <p>FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH.</p> <p>This column contains the amount of the States’ actual FY 2010 total computable medical assistance expenditures including DSH expenditures.</p>
Column G	<p>FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH.</p> <p>This column contains the amount of the States’ actual FY 2010 total computable DSH expenditures.</p>
Column H	<p>FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH.</p> <p>This column contains the amount of the States’ actual FY 2010 total computable medical assistance expenditures net of DSH expenditures, calculated as the amount in Column F minus the amount in Column G</p>
Column I	<p>12% AMOUNT.</p> <p>This column contains the amount of the “12 percent limit” in Federal share, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Act.</p>
Column J	<p>Greater of FY 2009 Allotment or 12% Limit.</p> <p>This column contains the greater of the State’s prior FY (FY 2009) DSH allotment or the amount of the 12% Limit, determined as the maximum of the amount in Column D or Column I</p>
Column K	<p>FY 2010 DSH Allotment.</p> <p>This column contains the States’ FY 2010 DSH allotments as would be determined without the application of the provisions of section 5002 of ARRA, determined as the minimum of the amount in Column J or Column E. For Non-Low DSH States that have not met the “FY Specified” test (entry in Column B is “NOT MET”), the amount in Column K is equal to the State’s FY 2004 DSH allotment. For States for which the entry in Column B is “na”, the amount in Column K is determined in accordance with the provisions of section</p>
Column L	<p>1923(f)(6) of the Act.</p> <p>FY 2009 DSH Allotment Under ARRA. This column contains the State's FY 2009 DSH allotment as determined in accordance with section 5002 of ARRA.</p>
Column M	<p>FY 2010 DSH Allotment Under ARRA.</p> <p>This column contains the State's FY 2010 DSH allotment as determined in accordance section 5002 of ARRA, and calculated as the amount in Column L</p>
Column N	<p>multiplied by 102.5 percent.</p> <p>FY 2010 DSH Allotment. (Max of Col K or M)</p> <p>This column contains the State's final FY 2010 DSH allotment as determined as the higher of the amount in Column K (the FY 2010 DSH allotment as determined without the application of section 5002 of ARRA) and the amount in Column M (102.5 percent of the amount of the State's FY 2009 DSH allotment determined in accordance with section 5002 of ARRA).</p>

CHART 1 - FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: FY 2010 DSH ALLOMMENT UNDER ARRA

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
STATE	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1	FY 2010 FMAPS	FY 2009 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2	FY 2009 Allotments x CPIU Increase: 1.00	FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH	FY 2010 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH Col F - G	"12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/((1-.12/COL G)*) (In FS)	Greater of FY 2009 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I)	FY 2010 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E	FY 2009 DSH ALLOTMENT UNDER ARRA	FY 2010 DSH ALLOTMENT UNDER ARRA Col L x 1.025	FY 2010 DSH ALLOTMENT Col K or M
ALABAMA	YES	68.01%	\$302,384,578	\$302,384,578	\$4,832,826,791	\$467,126,595	\$4,365,700,196	\$636,124,843	\$636,124,843	\$302,384,578	\$309,944,192	\$317,692,797	\$317,692,797
ARIZONA	YES	65.75%	\$99,565,654	\$99,565,654	\$9,380,405,044	\$28,474,900	\$9,351,930,144	\$1,372,776,350	\$1,372,776,350	\$99,565,654	\$102,054,795	\$104,606,165	\$104,606,165
CALIFORNIA	YES	50.00%	\$1,078,013,311	\$1,078,013,311	\$41,643,408,791	\$2,157,127,708	\$39,486,281,083	\$6,234,675,960	\$6,234,675,960	\$1,078,013,311	\$1,104,963,644	\$1,132,587,735	\$1,132,587,735
COLORADO	YES	50.00%	\$90,961,214	\$90,961,214	\$4,028,039,849	\$200,031,086	\$3,828,008,763	\$604,422,436	\$604,422,436	\$90,961,214	\$93,235,244	\$95,566,125	\$95,566,125
CONNECTICUT	YES	50.00%	\$196,672,896	\$196,672,896	\$5,528,407,156	\$268,679,122	\$5,259,728,034	\$830,483,374	\$830,483,374	\$196,672,896	\$201,589,718	\$206,629,461	\$206,629,461
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	YES	70.00%	\$60,231,074	\$60,231,074	\$1,772,035,504	\$66,913,105	\$1,705,122,399	\$246,948,761	\$246,948,761	\$60,231,074	\$61,736,851	\$63,280,272	\$63,280,272
FLORIDA	YES	54.98%	\$196,672,896	\$196,672,896	\$17,261,512,630	\$375,826,593	\$16,885,686,037	\$2,592,019,595	\$2,592,019,595	\$196,672,896	\$201,589,718	\$206,629,461	\$206,629,461
GEORGIA	YES	65.10%	\$264,279,204	\$264,279,204	\$7,710,755,659	\$434,584,421	\$7,276,171,238	\$1,070,460,447	\$1,070,460,447	\$264,279,204	\$270,886,184	\$277,658,339	\$277,658,339
HAWAII*	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$10,000,000
ILLINOIS	YES	50.17%	\$211,423,363	\$211,423,363	\$15,196,168,373	\$564,870,009	\$14,631,298,364	\$2,307,735,621	\$2,307,735,621	\$211,423,363	\$216,708,947	\$222,126,671	\$222,126,671
INDIANA	YES	65.93%	\$210,194,158	\$210,194,158	\$5,879,119,164	\$155,788,733	\$5,723,330,431	\$839,619,897	\$839,619,897	\$210,194,158	\$215,449,012	\$220,835,237	\$220,835,237
KANSAS	YES	60.38%	\$40,563,785	\$40,563,785	\$2,407,976,071	\$68,217,357	\$2,339,758,714	\$350,412,479	\$350,412,479	\$40,563,785	\$41,577,880	\$42,617,327	\$42,617,327
KENTUCKY	YES	70.96%	\$142,587,850	\$142,587,850	\$5,522,072,289	\$211,102,815	\$5,310,969,474	\$767,027,939	\$767,027,939	\$142,587,850	\$146,152,546	\$149,806,360	\$149,806,360
LOUISIANA	NOT MET	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$731,960,000	\$750,259,000	\$769,015,475	\$769,015,475
MAINE	YES	64.99%	\$103,253,270	\$103,253,270	\$2,266,424,935	\$49,680,874	\$2,216,744,061	\$326,249,171	\$326,249,171	\$103,253,270	\$105,834,602	\$108,480,467	\$108,480,467
MARYLAND	YES	50.00%	\$74,981,542	\$74,981,542	\$7,011,557,299	\$112,904,611	\$6,898,652,688	\$1,089,260,951	\$1,089,260,951	\$74,981,542	\$76,856,081	\$78,777,483	\$78,777,483
MASSACHUSETTS	YES	50.00%	\$299,926,166	\$299,926,166	\$11,595,044,370	\$0	\$11,595,044,370	\$1,830,796,479	\$1,830,796,479	\$299,926,166	\$307,424,320	\$315,109,928	\$315,109,928
MICHIGAN	YES	63.19%	\$260,591,587	\$260,591,587	\$11,556,478,652	\$376,966,410	\$11,179,512,242	\$1,656,026,674	\$1,656,026,674	\$260,591,587	\$267,106,377	\$273,784,036	\$273,784,036
MISSISSIPPI	YES	75.67%	\$149,963,083	\$149,963,083	\$4,106,064,588	\$208,213,247	\$3,897,851,341	\$555,898,372	\$555,898,372	\$149,963,083	\$153,712,160	\$157,554,964	\$157,554,964
MISSOURI	YES	64.51%	\$465,868,922	\$465,868,922	\$7,993,869,980	\$738,829,930	\$7,255,040,050	\$1,069,562,294	\$1,069,562,294	\$465,868,922	\$477,515,645	\$489,453,536	\$489,453,536
NEVADA	YES	50.16%	\$45,480,607	\$45,480,607	\$1,505,286,119	\$95,232,395	\$1,410,053,724	\$222,416,021	\$222,416,021	\$45,480,607	\$46,617,622	\$47,783,063	\$47,783,063
NEW HAMPSHIRE	YES	50.00%	\$157,435,200	\$157,435,200	\$1,318,621,083	\$230,179,521	\$1,088,441,562	\$171,859,194	\$171,859,194	\$157,435,200	\$161,371,080	\$165,405,357	\$165,405,357
NEW JERSEY	YES	50.00%	\$633,040,884	\$633,040,884	\$10,162,873,296	\$1,340,385,742	\$8,822,487,554	\$1,393,024,351	\$1,393,024,351	\$633,040,884	\$648,866,906	\$665,088,579	\$665,088,579
NEW YORK	YES	50.00%	\$1,579,529,196	\$1,579,529,196	\$50,453,082,673	\$3,117,352,830	\$47,335,729,843	\$7,474,062,607	\$7,474,062,607	\$1,579,529,196	\$1,619,017,426	\$1,659,492,862	\$1,659,492,862
NORTH CAROLINA	YES	65.13%	\$290,092,522	\$290,092,522	\$10,319,106,416	\$467,893,030	\$9,851,213,386	\$1,449,146,308	\$1,449,146,308	\$290,092,522	\$297,344,835	\$304,778,456	\$304,778,456
OHIO	YES	63.42%	\$399,491,820	\$399,491,820	\$15,121,647,576	\$656,437,448	\$14,465,210,128	\$2,140,918,615	\$2,140,918,615	\$399,491,820	\$409,479,116	\$419,716,094	\$419,716,094
PENNSYLVANIA	YES	54.81%	\$551,913,314	\$551,913,314	\$18,634,048,432	\$844,422,175	\$17,789,626,257	\$2,733,144,822	\$2,733,144,822	\$551,913,314	\$565,711,147	\$579,853,926	\$579,853,926

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
STATE	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1	FY 2010 FMAPS	FY 2009 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2	FY 2009 Allotments x CPIU Increase: 1.00	FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH	FY 2010 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH Col F - G	"12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12/COL G)* (In FS)	Greater of FY 2009 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I)	FY 2010 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E	FY 2009 DSH ALLOTMENT UNDER ARRA	FY 2010 DSH ALLOTMENT UNDER ARRA Col L x 1.025	FY 2010 DSH ALLOTMENT (= Max of Col K or M)
RHODE ISLAND	YES	52.63%	\$63,918,691	\$63,918,691	\$1,912,007,222	\$124,795,467	\$1,787,211,755	\$277,807,397	\$277,807,397	\$63,918,691	\$65,516,658	\$67,154,574	\$67,154,574
SOUTH CAROLINA	YES	70.32%	\$322,051,867	\$322,051,867	\$4,992,150,984	\$418,142,174	\$4,574,008,810	\$661,819,546	\$661,819,546	\$322,051,867	\$330,103,164	\$338,355,743	\$338,355,743
TENNESSEE*	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$305,451,928	\$0	\$0	\$305,451,928
TEXAS	YES	58.73%	\$940,342,284	\$940,342,284	\$26,330,687,310	\$1,688,246,076	\$24,642,441,234	\$3,716,457,711	\$3,716,457,711	\$940,342,284	\$963,850,841	\$987,947,112	\$987,947,112
VERMONT	YES	58.73%	\$22,125,701	\$22,125,701	\$1,247,368,764	\$36,548,781	\$1,210,819,983	\$182,610,206	\$182,610,206	\$22,125,701	\$22,678,844	\$23,245,815	\$23,245,815
VIRGINIA	YES	50.00%	\$86,150,177	\$86,150,177	\$6,407,859,287	\$198,720,152	\$6,209,139,135	\$980,390,390	\$980,390,390	\$86,150,177	\$88,303,931	\$90,511,529	\$90,511,529
WASHINGTON	YES	50.12%	\$181,922,429	\$181,922,429	\$6,988,870,974	\$368,089,934	\$6,620,781,040	\$1,044,596,681	\$1,044,596,681	\$181,922,429	\$186,470,490	\$191,132,252	\$191,132,252
WEST VIRGINIA	YES	74.04%	\$66,377,102	\$66,377,102	\$2,538,797,193	\$73,974,744	\$2,464,822,449	\$352,989,273	\$352,989,273	\$66,377,102	\$68,036,530	\$69,737,443	\$69,737,443
TOTAL			\$9,588,006,347	\$9,588,006,347	\$323,624,574,474	\$16,145,757,985	\$307,478,816,489	\$47,181,744,764	\$47,181,744,764	\$10,635,418,275	\$10,577,965,506	\$10,842,414,644	\$11,157,866,572
LOW DSH STATES		FY 2010 FMAPS	Prior FY 2009 Allotments										
ALASKA		51.43%	\$20,030,833	\$20,030,833	\$1,207,521,822	\$24,563,605	\$1,182,958,217	\$185,157,112	\$185,157,112	\$20,030,833	\$20,531,604	\$21,044,894	\$21,044,894
ARKANSAS		72.78%	\$42,420,163	\$42,420,163	\$3,880,864,886	\$60,911,365	\$3,819,953,521	\$548,896,776	\$548,896,776	\$42,420,163	\$43,480,667	\$44,567,684	\$44,567,684
DELAWARE		50.21%	\$8,902,592	\$8,902,592	\$1,286,830,608	\$6,294,243	\$1,280,536,365	\$201,923,258	\$201,923,258	\$8,902,592	\$9,125,157	\$9,353,286	\$9,353,286
IDAHO		69.40%	\$16,164,055	\$16,164,055	\$1,345,242,339	\$23,873,424	\$1,321,368,915	\$191,713,594	\$191,713,594	\$16,164,055	\$16,568,156	\$16,982,360	\$16,982,360
IOWA		63.51%	\$38,726,014	\$38,726,014	\$3,046,670,401	\$48,964,496	\$2,997,705,905	\$443,527,786	\$443,527,786	\$38,726,014	\$39,694,164	\$40,686,518	\$40,686,518
MINNESOTA		50.00%	\$73,446,387	\$73,446,387	\$7,496,239,705	\$109,024,479	\$7,387,215,226	\$1,166,402,404	\$1,166,402,404	\$73,446,387	\$75,282,547	\$77,164,611	\$77,164,611
MONTANA		67.42%	\$11,161,950	\$11,161,950	\$927,990,258	\$17,393,361	\$910,596,897	\$132,932,031	\$132,932,031	\$11,161,950	\$11,440,999	\$11,727,024	\$11,727,024
NEBRASKA		60.56%	\$27,827,466	\$27,827,466	\$1,595,294,737	\$47,746,534	\$1,547,548,203	\$231,596,835	\$231,596,835	\$27,827,466	\$28,523,153	\$29,236,232	\$29,236,232
NEW MEXICO		71.35%	\$20,030,833	\$20,030,833	\$3,456,995,131	\$29,207,929	\$3,427,787,202	\$494,502,342	\$494,502,342	\$20,030,833	\$20,531,604	\$21,044,894	\$21,044,894
NORTH DAKOTA		63.01%	\$9,393,079	\$9,393,079	\$682,210,886	\$1,745,030	\$680,465,856	\$100,865,290	\$100,865,290	\$9,393,079	\$9,627,906	\$9,868,604	\$9,868,604
OKLAHOMA		64.43%	\$35,610,368	\$35,610,368	\$3,861,876,770	\$40,396,623	\$3,821,480,147	\$563,535,302	\$563,535,302	\$35,610,368	\$36,500,627	\$37,413,143	\$37,413,143
OREGON		62.74%	\$44,512,961	\$44,512,961	\$3,973,078,563	\$54,379,244	\$3,918,699,319	\$581,456,512	\$581,456,512	\$44,512,961	\$45,625,785	\$46,766,430	\$46,766,430

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
STATE	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1	FY 2010 FMAPS	FY 2009 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2	FY 2009 Allotments x CPIU Increase: 1.00	FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH	FY 2010 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2010 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH Col F - G	"12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12/COL G)* (In FS)	Greater of FY 2009 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I)	FY 2010 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E	FY 2009 DSH ALLOTMENT UNDER ARRA	FY 2010 DSH ALLOTMENT UNDER ARRA Col L x 1.025	FY 2010 DSH ALLOTMENT (= Max of Col K or M)
SOUTH DAKOTA		62.72%	\$10,860,913	\$10,860,913	\$775,170,127	\$648,496	\$774,521,631	\$114,932,169	\$114,932,169	\$10,860,913	\$11,132,436	\$11,410,747	\$11,410,747
UTAH		71.68%	\$19,291,628	\$19,291,628	\$1,687,188,906	\$26,849,118	\$1,660,339,788	\$239,302,593	\$239,302,593	\$19,291,628	\$19,773,919	\$20,268,267	\$20,268,267
WISCONSIN		60.21%	\$92,960,219	\$92,960,219	\$6,431,517,340	\$3,956,666	\$6,427,560,674	\$963,294,159	\$963,294,159	\$92,960,219	\$95,284,224	\$97,666,330	\$97,666,330
WYOMING		50.00%	\$222,564	\$222,564	\$529,751,394	\$801,851	\$528,949,543	\$83,518,349	\$83,518,349	\$222,564	\$228,128	\$233,831	\$233,831
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES			\$471,562,025	\$471,562,025	\$42,184,443,873	\$496,756,464	\$41,687,687,409	\$6,243,556,512	\$6,243,556,512	\$471,562,025	\$483,351,076	\$495,434,855	\$495,434,855
TOTAL			\$10,059,568,372	\$10,059,568,372	\$365,809,018,347	\$16,642,514,449	\$349,166,503,898	\$53,425,301,277	\$53,425,301,277	\$11,106,980,300	\$11,061,316,582	\$11,337,849,499	\$11,653,301,427

FOOTNOTES:

/1 "YES", if FY 2010 or prior fiscal year is the "Fiscal Year Specified", as determined under section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Social Security Act; "NOT MET", if Fiscal Year Specified has not occurred, and "NA" for States that this provision is not applicable.

/2 For Non-Low DSH States, entries in Columns C through Column K are only for States meeting the "Fiscal Year Specified" test ("YES" in Column B). The entry in Column D is the actual prior year (FY 2009) DSH allotment, and for States that FY 2010 is the Fiscal Year Specified, the prior FY 2009 DSH allotment was equal to the FY 2004 allotment.

/3 Hawaii and Tennessee DSH allotments determined under section 1923(f)(6) of the Act; under this section, Tennessee's DSH payments are limited to 30% of DSH allotment.

Key to Chart 2. Final DSH Allotments for FY 2011.

Key to Chart 2.— FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2011 The Final FY 2011 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Final FY 2011 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart.	
Column	Description
Column A	State.
Column B	<p>1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met.</p> <p>This column indicates whether the “FY Specified” has occurred with respect to Non-Low DSH States, determined in accordance with section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act. “YES” indicates the FY Specified has occurred; “NOT MET” indicates that the FY Specified has not occurred; and “na” indicates that this provision is not applicable. This provision is not applicable for Low-DSH States indicated in the bottom portion of chart 3</p>
Columns C-K	<p>For all States, the entries in Columns B through K present the determination of the final FY 2011 DSH allotments as would be calculated <u>without</u> the application of section 5002 of ARRA since such provisions were only applicable for FY 2009 and FY 2010.</p> <p>For Non-Low DSH States indicated in the top portion of Chart 2, entries in Columns C through J are only for States meeting the “FY Specified” test (“YES” in Column B). For States not meeting the test indicated in Column B, these Columns indicate "NA", and for States for which such test is not applicable, these Columns indicate "na". For Low DSH States, entries are in the bottom portion of Chart 2.</p>
Column C	<p>FY 2011 FMAPS.</p> <p>This column contains the States’ FY 2011 Federal Medical Assistance Percentages.</p>
Column D	<p>FY 2010 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test.</p> <p>This column contains the States’ prior FY 2010 DSH Allotments as would be determined without the application of section 5002 of ARRA.</p>
Column E	<p>FY 2010 Allotments X (1 + Percentage Increase in CPI-U): 1.017.</p> <p>This column contains the amount in Column D increased by 1 plus the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior FY (1.7 percent).</p>
Column F	<p>FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH.</p> <p>This column contains the amount of the States’ projected FY 2011 total computable medical assistance expenditures including DSH expenditures.</p>
Column G	FY 2011 TC DSH Expenditures.

Key to Chart 2.— FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2011 The Final FY 2011 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Final FY 2011 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart.	
Column	Description
Column H	<p>This column contains the amount of the States’ projected FY 2011 total computable DSH expenditures.</p> <p>FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH.</p> <p>This column contains the amount of the States’ projected FY 2011 total computable medical assistance expenditures net of DSH expenditures, calculated as the amount in Column F minus the amount in Column G</p>
Column I	<p>12% AMOUNT.</p> <p>This column contains the amount of the “12 percent limit” in Federal share, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Act.</p>
Column J	<p>Greater of FY 2010 Allotment or 12% Limit.</p> <p>This column contains the greater of the State’s prior FY (FY 2010) DSH allotment or the amount of the 12% Limit, determined as the maximum of the amount in Column D or Column I</p>
Column K	<p>FY 2011 DSH Allotment.</p> <p>This column contains the States’ FY 2011 DSH allotments as would be determined without the application of the provisions of section 5002 of ARRA, determined as the minimum of the amount in Column J or Column E.</p> <p>For Non-Low DSH States that have not met the “FY Specified” test (entry in Column B is “NOT MET”), the amount in Column K is equal to the State’s FY 2004 DSH allotment. For States for which the entry in Column B is “na”, the amount in Column K is determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(6) of the Act.</p>

CHART 2 - FINAL DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2011

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1	FY 2011 FMAPS	FY 2010 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2, /3	FY 2010 Allotments x CPIU Increase: 1.017	FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH	FY 2011 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH Col F - G	"12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12/COL G)* (In FS)	Greater of FY 2010 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I)	FY 2011 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E
ALABAMA	YES	68.54%	\$302,384,578	\$307,525,116	\$4,793,247,444	\$449,120,952	\$4,344,126,492	\$631,934,411	\$631,934,411	\$307,525,116
ARIZONA	YES	65.85%	\$99,565,654	\$101,258,270	\$8,988,386,558	\$165,763,665	\$8,822,622,893	\$1,294,640,039	\$1,294,640,039	\$101,258,270
CALIFORNIA	YES	50.00%	\$1,078,013,311	\$1,096,339,537	\$54,305,789,679	\$2,275,296,092	\$52,030,493,587	\$8,215,341,093	\$8,215,341,093	\$1,096,339,537
COLORADO	YES	50.00%	\$90,961,214	\$92,507,555	\$4,348,993,638	\$185,015,110	\$4,163,978,528	\$657,470,294	\$657,470,294	\$92,507,555
CONNECTICUT	YES	50.00%	\$196,672,896	\$200,016,335	\$5,812,369,379	\$201,419,483	\$5,610,949,896	\$885,939,457	\$885,939,457	\$200,016,335
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	YES	70.00%	\$60,231,074	\$61,255,002	\$2,129,496,913	\$73,326,528	\$2,056,170,385	\$297,790,194	\$297,790,194	\$61,255,002
FLORIDA	YES	55.45%	\$196,672,896	\$200,016,335	\$18,127,940,651	\$350,105,390	\$17,777,835,261	\$2,722,525,105	\$2,722,525,105	\$200,016,335
GEORGIA	YES	65.33%	\$264,279,204	\$268,771,950	\$8,064,611,365	\$410,126,151	\$7,654,485,214	\$1,125,222,244	\$1,125,222,244	\$268,771,950
HAWAII* /4	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$10,000,000
ILLINOIS	YES	50.20%	\$211,423,363	\$215,017,560	\$12,835,985,780	\$409,833,294	\$12,426,152,486	\$1,959,558,706	\$1,959,558,706	\$215,017,560
INDIANA	YES	66.52%	\$210,194,158	\$213,767,459	\$6,566,449,764	\$326,730,322	\$6,239,719,442	\$913,571,836	\$913,571,836	\$213,767,459
KANSAS	YES	59.05%	\$40,563,785	\$41,253,369	\$2,669,175,687	\$69,861,760	\$2,599,313,927	\$391,471,594	\$391,471,594	\$41,253,369
KENTUCKY	YES	71.49%	\$142,587,850	\$145,011,843	\$5,652,087,484	\$202,842,136	\$5,449,245,348	\$785,812,506	\$785,812,506	\$145,011,843
LOUISIANA	NOT MET	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$731,960,000
MAINE	YES	63.80%	\$103,253,270	\$105,008,576	\$2,356,177,543	\$51,536,880	\$2,304,640,663	\$340,624,110	\$340,624,110	\$105,008,576
MARYLAND	YES	50.00%	\$74,981,542	\$76,256,228	\$7,319,542,445	\$88,352,109	\$7,231,190,336	\$1,141,766,895	\$1,141,766,895	\$76,256,228
MASSACHUSETTS	YES	50.00%	\$299,926,166	\$305,024,911	\$13,007,366,707	\$0	\$13,007,366,707	\$2,053,794,743	\$2,053,794,743	\$305,024,911
MICHIGAN	YES	65.79%	\$260,591,587	\$265,021,644	\$12,062,932,510	\$387,852,156	\$11,675,080,354	\$1,713,560,595	\$1,713,560,595	\$265,021,644
MISSISSIPPI	YES	74.73%	\$149,963,083	\$152,512,455	\$4,410,842,108	\$204,084,644	\$4,206,757,464	\$601,379,216	\$601,379,216	\$152,512,455
MISSOURI	YES	63.29%	\$465,868,922	\$473,788,694	\$8,011,172,212	\$699,597,196	\$7,311,575,016	\$1,082,666,210	\$1,082,666,210	\$473,788,694
NEVADA	YES	51.61%	\$45,480,607	\$46,253,777	\$1,562,938,792	\$88,358,886	\$1,474,579,906	\$230,557,139	\$230,557,139	\$46,253,777
NEW HAMPSHIRE	YES	50.00%	\$157,435,200	\$160,111,598	\$1,348,154,355	\$148,617,573	\$1,199,536,782	\$189,400,545	\$189,400,545	\$160,111,598
NEW JERSEY	YES	50.00%	\$633,040,884	\$643,802,579	\$10,501,136,233	\$1,269,857,523	\$9,231,278,710	\$1,457,570,323	\$1,457,570,323	\$643,802,579
NEW YORK	YES	50.00%	\$1,579,529,196	\$1,606,381,192	\$51,711,675,845	\$3,158,222,542	\$48,553,453,303	\$7,666,334,732	\$7,666,334,732	\$1,606,381,192
NORTH CAROLINA	YES	64.71%	\$290,092,522	\$295,024,095	\$10,297,057,563	\$408,931,874	\$9,888,125,689	\$1,456,711,698	\$1,456,711,698	\$295,024,095
OHIO	YES	63.69%	\$399,491,820	\$406,283,181	\$15,533,331,022	\$662,908,943	\$14,870,422,079	\$2,198,716,616	\$2,198,716,616	\$406,283,181
PENNSYLVANIA	YES	55.64%	\$551,913,314	\$561,295,840	\$20,395,014,723	\$869,302,325	\$19,525,712,398	\$2,987,380,306	\$2,987,380,306	\$561,295,840

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1	FY 2011 FMAPS	FY 2010 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2, /3	FY 2010 Allotments x CPIU Increase: 1.017	FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH	FY 2011 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH Col F - G	"12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12/COL G)* (In FS)	Greater of FY 2010 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I)	FY 2011 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E
RHODE ISLAND	YES	52.97%	\$63,918,691	\$65,005,309	\$2,098,664,704	\$122,720,991	\$1,975,943,713	\$306,563,061	\$306,563,061	\$65,005,309
SOUTH CAROLINA	YES	70.04%	\$322,051,867	\$327,526,749	\$4,930,814,886	\$530,651,697	\$4,400,163,189	\$637,189,724	\$637,189,724	\$327,526,749
TENNESSEE* /4	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$305,451,928
TEXAS	YES	60.56%	\$940,342,284	\$956,328,103	\$27,847,444,279	\$1,579,141,499	\$26,268,302,780	\$3,931,157,536	\$3,931,157,536	\$956,328,103
VERMONT	YES	58.71%	\$22,125,701	\$22,501,838	\$1,281,883,083	\$37,448,782	\$1,244,434,301	\$187,696,179	\$187,696,179	\$22,501,838
VIRGINIA	YES	50.00%	\$86,150,177	\$87,614,730	\$6,893,824,841	\$195,252,578	\$6,698,572,263	\$1,057,669,305	\$1,057,669,305	\$87,614,730
WASHINGTON	YES	50.00%	\$181,922,429	\$185,015,110	\$7,334,958,500	\$348,853,464	\$6,986,105,036	\$1,103,069,216	\$1,103,069,216	\$185,015,110
WEST VIRGINIA	YES	73.24%	\$66,377,102	\$67,505,513	\$2,740,221,609	\$73,313,008	\$2,666,908,601	\$382,738,836	\$382,738,836	\$67,505,513
TOTAL			\$9,588,006,347	\$9,751,002,455	\$345,939,688,302	\$16,044,445,553	\$329,895,242,749	\$50,607,824,463	\$50,607,824,463	\$10,798,414,381
LOW DSH STATES		FY 2011 FMAPS	Prior FY 2010 Allotments							
ALASKA		50.00%	\$20,030,833	\$20,371,357	\$1,290,457,318	\$15,200,649	\$1,275,256,669	\$201,356,316	\$201,356,316	\$20,371,357
ARKANSAS		71.37%	\$42,420,163	\$43,141,306	\$3,951,827,218	\$62,042,792	\$3,889,784,426	\$561,119,585	\$561,119,585	\$43,141,306
DELAWARE		53.15%	\$8,902,592	\$9,053,936	\$1,391,676,715	\$5,626,975	\$1,386,049,740	\$214,829,289	\$214,829,289	\$9,053,936
IDAHO		68.85%	\$16,164,055	\$16,438,844	\$1,514,685,207	\$24,665,737	\$1,490,019,470	\$216,544,254	\$216,544,254	\$16,438,844
IOWA		62.63%	\$38,726,014	\$39,384,356	\$3,317,142,942	\$81,897,436	\$3,235,245,506	\$480,245,134	\$480,245,134	\$39,384,356
MINNESOTA		50.00%	\$73,446,387	\$74,694,976	\$8,271,183,374	\$89,416,037	\$8,181,767,337	\$1,291,858,001	\$1,291,858,001	\$74,694,976
MONTANA		66.81%	\$11,161,950	\$11,351,703	\$954,479,434	\$16,991,023	\$937,488,411	\$137,128,847	\$137,128,847	\$11,351,703
NEBRASKA		58.44%	\$27,827,466	\$28,300,533	\$1,637,255,773	\$38,509,947	\$1,598,745,826	\$241,423,013	\$241,423,013	\$28,300,533
NEW MEXICO		69.78%	\$20,030,833	\$20,371,357	\$3,317,620,410	\$29,106,046	\$3,288,514,364	\$476,578,468	\$476,578,468	\$20,371,357
NORTH DAKOTA		60.35%	\$9,393,079	\$9,552,761	\$701,893,360	\$1,805,893	\$700,087,467	\$104,861,085	\$104,861,085	\$9,552,761
OKLAHOMA		64.94%	\$35,610,368	\$36,215,744	\$4,008,275,083	\$43,979,398	\$3,964,295,685	\$583,546,721	\$583,546,721	\$36,215,744
OREGON		62.85%	\$44,512,961	\$45,269,681	\$4,386,322,933	\$52,920,939	\$4,333,401,994	\$642,724,048	\$642,724,048	\$45,269,681
SOUTH DAKOTA		61.25%	\$10,860,913	\$11,045,549	\$750,185,483	\$537,729	\$749,647,754	\$111,876,365	\$111,876,365	\$11,045,549
UTAH		71.13%	\$19,291,628	\$19,619,586	\$1,733,301,867	\$23,971,578	\$1,709,330,289	\$246,747,161	\$246,747,161	\$19,619,586
WISCONSIN		60.16%	\$92,960,219	\$94,540,543	\$6,878,408,081	\$100	\$6,878,407,981	\$1,031,075,642	\$1,031,075,642	\$94,540,543

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1	FY 2011 FMAPS	FY 2010 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2, /3	FY 2010 Allotments x CPIU Increase: 1.017	FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH	FY 2011 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2011 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH Col F - G	"12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12/COL G)* (In FS)	Greater of FY 2010 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I)	FY 2011 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E
WYOMING		50.00%	\$222,564	\$226,348	\$527,161,771	\$759,731	\$526,402,040	\$83,116,112	\$83,116,112	\$226,348
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES			\$471,562,025	\$479,578,579	\$44,631,876,969	\$487,432,010	\$44,144,444,959	\$6,625,030,039	\$6,625,030,039	\$479,578,580
TOTAL			\$10,059,568,372	\$10,230,581,034	\$390,571,565,271	\$16,531,877,563	\$374,039,687,708	\$57,232,854,502	\$57,232,854,502	\$11,277,992,961

FOOTNOTES:

/1 'YES', if FY 2010 or other prior fiscal year is the 'Fiscal Year Specified', as determined under section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Social Security Act; and 'NOT MET', if the 'Fiscal Year Specified' has not occurred, and NA for States for which this provision is not applicable.

/2 For Non-Low DSH States, entries in Columns C through Column K are only for States meeting the "Fiscal Year Specified" test ("YES" in Column B) in this fiscal year or a prior fiscal year; the entry in Column D is the actual prior year DSH allotment for such States. For States not meeting such test, the prior fiscal year allotment would be equal to the FY 2004 allotment.

/3 The DSH Allotments in Column D are not the actual FY 2010 DSH Allotments; rather, under section 1923(f)(3) of the Social Security Act, they are the allotments as would have been determined without regard to section 5002 of P.L. 111-5.

/4 Hawaii and Tennessee DSH allotments determined under section 1923(f)(6) of the Act; under such section, Tennessee's DSH payments are limited to 30% of the DSH allotment.

Key to Chart 3. Preliminary DSH Allotments for FY 2012.

Key to Chart 3.— PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2012 The Preliminary FY 2012 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Preliminary FY 2012 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart.	
Column	Description
Column A	State.
Column B	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met. This column indicates whether the “FY Specified” has occurred with respect to Non-Low DSH States, determined in accordance with section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Act. “YES” indicates the FY Specified has occurred; “NOT MET” indicates that the FY Specified has not occurred; and “na” indicates that this provision is not applicable. This provision is not applicable for Low-DSH States indicated in the bottom portion of chart 3
Columns C-K	For Non-Low DSH States indicated in the top portion of Chart 3, entries in Columns C through J are only for States meeting the “FY Specified” test (“YES” in Column B). For States not meeting the test indicated in Column B, these Columns indicate "na", and for States for which such test is not applicable, these Columns indicate "na". For Low DSH States, entries are in the bottom portion of Chart 3.
Column C	FY 2012 FMAPS. This column contains the States’ FY 2012 Federal Medical Assistance Percentages.
Column D	FY 2011 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test. This column contains the States’ prior FY 2010 DSH Allotments as would be determined without the application of section 5002 of ARRA.
Column E	FY 2011 Allotments X (1 + Percentage Increase in CPI-U): 1.024. This column contains the amount in Column D increased by 1 plus the percentage increase in the CPI-U for the prior FY (1.024 percent).
Column F	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH. This column contains the amount of the States’ projected FY 2012 total computable medical assistance expenditures including DSH expenditures.
Column G	FY 2012 TC DSH Expenditures. This column contains the amount of the States’ projected FY 2012 total computable DSH expenditures.
Column H	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH.

Key to Chart 3.— PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2012 The Preliminary FY 2012 DSH Allotments for the NON-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart, and the Preliminary FY 2012 DSH Allotments for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of this chart.	
Column	Description
Column I	<p>This column contains the amount of the States’ projected FY 2012 total computable medical assistance expenditures net of DSH expenditures, calculated as the amount in Column F minus the amount in Column G</p> <p>12% AMOUNT.</p> <p>This column contains the amount of the “12 percent limit” in Federal share, determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(3) of the Act.</p>
Column J	<p>Greater of FY 2010 Allotment or 12% Limit.</p> <p>This column contains the greater of the State’s prior FY (FY 2011) DSH allotment or the amount of the 12% Limit, determined as the maximum of the amount in Column D or Column I</p>
Column K	<p>FY 2012 DSH Allotment.</p> <p>This column contains the States’ preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments, determined as the minimum of the amount in Column J or Column E.</p> <p>For Non-Low DSH States that have not met the “FY Specified” test (entry in Column B is “NOT MET”), the amount in Column K is equal to the State’s FY 2004 DSH allotment. For States for which the entry in Column B is “na”, the amount in Column K is determined in accordance with the provisions of section 1923(f)(6) of the Act.</p>

CHART 3 - PRELIMINARY DSH ALLOTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR: 2012

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1	FY 2012 FMAPS	FY 2011 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2, /3	FY 2011 Allotments x CPIU Increase: 1.024	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH	FY 2012 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH Col F - G	"12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12/COL G)* (In FS)	Greater of FY 2011 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I)	FY 2012 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E
ALABAMA	YES	68.62%	\$307,525,116	\$314,905,719	\$5,543,737,000	\$448,597,000	\$5,095,140,000	\$741,000,014	\$741,000,014	\$314,905,719
ARIZONA	YES	67.30%	\$101,258,270	\$103,688,468	\$8,509,683,000	\$153,317,000	\$8,356,366,000	\$1,220,361,877	\$1,220,361,877	\$103,688,468
CALIFORNIA	YES	50.00%	\$1,096,339,537	\$1,122,651,686	\$57,013,025,000	\$808,605,000	\$56,204,420,000	\$8,874,382,105	\$8,874,382,105	\$1,122,651,686
COLORADO	YES	50.00%	\$92,507,555	\$94,727,736	\$4,626,831,000	\$188,635,000	\$4,438,196,000	\$700,767,789	\$700,767,789	\$94,727,736
CONNECTICUT	YES	50.00%	\$200,016,335	\$204,816,727	\$5,886,028,000	\$136,848,000	\$5,749,180,000	\$907,765,263	\$907,765,263	\$204,816,727
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	YES	70.00%	\$61,255,002	\$62,725,122	\$2,059,009,000	\$69,379,000	\$1,989,630,000	\$288,153,310	\$288,153,310	\$62,725,122
FLORIDA	YES	56.04%	\$200,016,335	\$204,816,727	\$18,911,586,000	\$384,827,000	\$18,526,759,000	\$2,828,990,666	\$2,828,990,666	\$204,816,727
GEORGIA	YES	66.16%	\$268,771,950	\$275,222,477	\$8,080,995,000	\$440,879,000	\$7,640,116,000	\$1,119,948,467	\$1,119,948,467	\$275,222,477
HAWAII* /4	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$10,000,000
ILLINOIS	YES	50.00%	\$215,017,560	\$220,177,981	\$13,932,435,000	\$428,744,000	\$13,503,691,000	\$2,132,161,737	\$2,132,161,737	\$220,177,981
INDIANA	YES	66.96%	\$213,767,459	\$218,897,878	\$7,777,312,000	\$320,489,000	\$7,456,823,000	\$1,090,194,035	\$1,090,194,035	\$218,897,878
KANSAS	YES	56.91%	\$41,253,369	\$42,243,450	\$2,813,694,000	\$70,400,000	\$2,743,294,000	\$417,156,611	\$417,156,611	\$42,243,450
KENTUCKY	YES	71.18%	\$145,011,843	\$148,492,127	\$6,388,433,000	\$207,429,000	\$6,181,004,000	\$892,120,037	\$892,120,037	\$148,492,127
LOUISIANA	NOT MET	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$731,960,000
MAINE	YES	63.27%	\$105,008,576	\$107,528,782	\$2,127,018,000	\$52,760,000	\$2,074,258,000	\$307,169,815	\$307,169,815	\$107,528,782
MARYLAND	YES	50.00%	\$76,256,228	\$78,086,377	\$8,449,938,000	\$92,561,000	\$8,357,377,000	\$1,319,585,842	\$1,319,585,842	\$78,086,377
MASSACHUSETTS	YES	50.00%	\$305,024,911	\$312,345,509	\$13,616,213,000	\$0	\$13,616,213,000	\$2,149,928,368	\$2,149,928,368	\$312,345,509
MICHIGAN	YES	66.14%	\$265,021,644	\$271,382,163	\$12,493,693,000	\$399,654,000	\$12,094,039,000	\$1,772,958,418	\$1,772,958,418	\$271,382,163
MISSISSIPPI	YES	74.18%	\$152,512,455	\$156,172,754	\$5,224,836,000	\$215,553,000	\$5,009,283,000	\$717,121,800	\$717,121,800	\$156,172,754
MISSOURI	YES	63.45%	\$473,788,694	\$485,159,623	\$9,212,344,000	\$725,169,000	\$8,487,175,000	\$1,256,002,924	\$1,256,002,924	\$485,159,623
NEVADA	YES	56.20%	\$46,253,777	\$47,363,868	\$1,709,101,000	\$82,383,000	\$1,626,718,000	\$248,203,308	\$248,203,308	\$47,363,868
NEW HAMPSHIRE	YES	50.00%	\$160,111,598	\$163,954,276	\$1,291,538,000	\$68,979,000	\$1,222,559,000	\$193,035,632	\$193,035,632	\$163,954,276
NEW JERSEY	YES	50.00%	\$643,802,579	\$659,253,841	\$11,348,953,000	\$1,288,871,000	\$10,060,082,000	\$1,588,434,000	\$1,588,434,000	\$659,253,841
NEW YORK	YES	50.00%	\$1,606,381,192	\$1,644,934,341	\$59,916,093,000	\$3,215,450,000	\$56,700,643,000	\$8,952,733,105	\$8,952,733,105	\$1,644,934,341
NORTH CAROLINA	YES	65.28%	\$295,024,095	\$302,104,673	\$11,283,445,000	\$394,137,000	\$10,889,308,000	\$1,601,022,582	\$1,601,022,582	\$302,104,673
OHIO	YES	64.15%	\$406,283,181	\$416,033,977	\$17,563,767,000	\$644,733,000	\$16,919,034,000	\$2,497,463,542	\$2,497,463,542	\$416,033,977
PENNSYLVANIA	YES	55.07%	\$561,295,840	\$574,766,940	\$21,199,808,000	\$795,864,000	\$20,403,944,000	\$3,130,657,616	\$3,130,657,616	\$574,766,940

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1	FY 2012 FMAPS	FY 2011 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2, /3	FY 2011 Allotments x CPIU Increase: 1.024	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH	FY 2012 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH Col F - G	"12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/((1-.12/COL G))* (In FS)	Greater of FY 2011 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I)	FY 2012 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E
RHODE ISLAND	YES	52.12%	\$65,005,309	\$66,565,436	\$2,242,211,000	\$132,367,000	\$2,109,844,000	\$328,908,482	\$328,908,482	\$66,565,436
SOUTH CAROLINA	YES	70.24%	\$327,526,749	\$335,387,391	\$5,184,713,000	\$463,699,000	\$4,721,014,000	\$683,250,048	\$683,250,048	\$335,387,391
TENNESSEE* /4	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	\$123,562,982
TEXAS	YES	58.22%	\$956,328,103	\$979,279,977	\$30,053,163,000	\$1,580,695,000	\$28,472,468,000	\$4,303,765,695	\$4,303,765,695	\$979,279,977
VERMONT	YES	57.58%	\$22,501,838	\$23,041,882	\$1,481,074,000	\$37,449,000	\$1,443,625,000	\$218,843,161	\$218,843,161	\$23,041,882
VIRGINIA	YES	50.00%	\$87,614,730	\$89,717,484	\$7,122,814,000	\$202,738,000	\$6,920,076,000	\$1,092,643,579	\$1,092,643,579	\$89,717,484
WASHINGTON	YES	50.00%	\$185,015,110	\$189,455,473	\$8,369,624,000	\$631,865,000	\$7,737,759,000	\$1,221,751,421	\$1,221,751,421	\$189,455,473
WEST VIRGINIA	YES	72.62%	\$67,505,513	\$69,125,645	\$2,807,309,000	\$91,403,000	\$2,715,906,000	\$390,423,808	\$390,423,808	\$69,125,645
TOTAL			\$9,751,002,453	\$9,985,026,512	\$374,240,423,000	\$14,774,479,000	\$359,465,944,000	\$55,186,905,060	\$55,186,905,060	\$10,850,549,492
LOW DSH STATES		FY 2012 FMAPS	Prior FY 2011 Allotments							
ALASKA		50.00%	\$20,371,357	\$20,860,270	\$1,468,824,000	\$20,365,000	\$1,448,459,000	\$228,704,053	\$228,704,053	\$20,860,270
ARKANSAS		70.71%	\$43,141,306	\$44,176,697	\$4,357,646,000	\$57,820,000	\$4,299,826,000	\$621,442,405	\$621,442,405	\$44,176,697
DELAWARE		54.17%	\$9,053,936	\$9,271,230	\$1,428,904,000	\$5,740,000	\$1,423,164,000	\$219,377,170	\$219,377,170	\$9,271,230
IDAHO		70.23%	\$16,438,844	\$16,833,376	\$1,811,070,000	\$25,406,000	\$1,785,664,000	\$258,438,295	\$258,438,295	\$16,833,376
IOWA		60.71%	\$39,384,356	\$40,329,581	\$3,618,226,000	\$53,904,000	\$3,564,322,000	\$533,089,687	\$533,089,687	\$40,329,581
MINNESOTA		50.00%	\$74,694,976	\$76,487,655	\$9,809,425,000	\$154,792,000	\$9,654,633,000	\$1,524,415,737	\$1,524,415,737	\$76,487,655
MONTANA		66.11%	\$11,351,703	\$11,624,144	\$1,031,612,000	\$17,553,000	\$1,014,059,000	\$148,673,681	\$148,673,681	\$11,624,144
NEBRASKA		56.64%	\$28,300,533	\$28,979,746	\$1,985,088,000	\$39,811,000	\$1,945,277,000	\$296,184,111	\$296,184,111	\$28,979,746
NEW MEXICO		69.36%	\$20,371,357	\$20,860,270	\$3,940,036,000	\$29,223,000	\$3,910,813,000	\$567,476,966	\$567,476,966	\$20,860,270
NORTH DAKOTA		55.40%	\$9,552,761	\$9,782,027	\$780,902,000	\$1,776,000	\$779,126,000	\$119,346,305	\$119,346,305	\$9,782,027
OKLAHOMA		63.88%	\$36,215,744	\$37,084,922	\$4,789,962,000	\$57,000,000	\$4,732,962,000	\$699,325,241	\$699,325,241	\$37,084,922
OREGON		62.91%	\$45,269,681	\$46,356,153	\$4,778,528,000	\$24,568,000	\$4,753,960,000	\$704,941,953	\$704,941,953	\$46,356,153
SOUTH DAKOTA		59.13%	\$11,045,549	\$11,310,642	\$868,253,000	\$2,406,000	\$865,847,000	\$130,356,545	\$130,356,545	\$11,310,642
UTAH		70.99%	\$19,619,586	\$20,090,456	\$2,024,580,000	\$27,390,000	\$1,997,190,000	\$288,416,040	\$288,416,040	\$20,090,456
WISCONSIN		60.53%	\$94,540,543	\$96,809,516	\$6,823,722,000	\$157,303,000	\$6,666,419,000	\$997,778,715	\$997,778,715	\$96,809,516

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	1923(f)(3)(D) Test Met /1	FY 2012 FMAPS	FY 2011 DSH Allotment For States Meeting Test /2, /3	FY 2011 Allotments x CPIU Increase: 1.024	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Incl. DSH	FY 2012 TC DSH Expenditures	FY 2012 TC MAP Exp. Net of DSH Col F - G	"12% AMOUNT" =COL L x .12/(1-.12/COL G)* (In FS)	Greater of FY 2011 Allotment or 12% Limit (MAX of D or I)	FY 2012 DSH Allotment =FY 04 ALLOT or MIN Col J, Col E
WYOMING		50.00%	\$226,348	\$231,780	\$554,151,000	\$453,000	\$553,698,000	\$87,426,000	\$87,426,000	\$231,780
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES			\$479,578,580	\$491,088,466	\$50,070,929,000	\$675,510,000	\$49,395,419,000	\$7,425,392,903	\$7,425,392,903	\$491,088,465
TOTAL			\$10,230,581,033	\$10,476,114,978	\$424,311,352,000	\$15,449,989,000	\$408,861,363,000	\$62,612,297,963	\$62,612,297,963	\$11,341,637,957

FOOTNOTES:

/1 'YES', if FY 2011 or other prior fiscal year is the 'Fiscal Year Specified', as determined under section 1923(f)(3)(D) of the Social Security Act; and 'NOT MET', if the 'Fiscal Year Specified' has not occurred, and NA for States for which this provision is not applicable.

/2 For Non-Low DSH States, entries in Columns C through Column K are only for States meeting the "Fiscal Year Specified" test ("YES" in Column B) in this fiscal year or a prior fiscal year; the entry in Column D is the actual prior year DSH allotment for such States. For States not meeting such test, the prior fiscal year allotment would be equal to the FY 2004 allotment.

/3 The DSH Allotments in Column D are not the actual FY 2010 DSH Allotments; rather, under section 1923(f)(3) of the Social Security Act, they are the allotments as would have been determined without regard to section 5002 of P.L. 111-5.

/4 Hawaii and Tennessee DSH allotments are determined under section 1923(f)(6) of the Act. Under this provision, Tennessee's DSH payments for FY 2012 are limited to \$70,108,895

Key to Chart 4. Final IMD DSH Limit for FY 2010.

Key to Chart 4.--FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2010 [Key to the Chart of the Final FY 2010 IMD Limitations.—	
The Final FY 2010 IMD DSH Limits for the regular States are presented in the top section of this chart and the final FY IMD DSH Limits for the Low DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart.]	
Column	Description
Column A	State.
Column B	Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD and Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable, Col B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	Applicable Percentage Col C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D). Per section 1923(h)(2)(A)(ii)(III) of the Act, for FYs after FY 2002, the applicable percentage can be no greater than 33 percent.
Column F	FY 2010 Allotment In FS Under ARRA. This column contains the States' final FY 2010 DSH allotments as determined under ARRA.
Column G	FY 2010 FMAP. This column contains the States' FY 2010 FMAPs.
Column H	FY 2010 DSH Allotments in TC. Col. F/G. This column contains the FY 2010 total computable DSH Allotment (determined as the amount in Column F divided by the amount in Column G).
Column I	Col E * Col H in TC. This column contains the applicable percent of FY 2010 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as the amount in Column E multiplied by the amount in Column H).

Key to Chart 4.--FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2010
[Key to the Chart of the Final FY 2010 IMD Limitations.—

The Final FY 2010 IMD DSH Limits for the regular States are presented in the top section of this chart and the final FY IMD DSH Limits for the Low DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart.]

Column	Description
Column J	FY 2010 TC IMD DSH Limit. Lesser of Col. C or I. This column contains the FY 2010 TC IMD DSH Limit equal to the lesser of the amount in Column C or Column I.
Column K	FY 2010 IMD DSH Limit in FS U/ARRA. Col. G x J. This column contains the FY 2010 Federal share IMD DSH limit determined by converting the total computable FY 2010 IMD DSH Limit from Column J into a Federal share amount by multiplying it by the FY 2010 FMAP in Column G.

									CHART 4 - FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY:	
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL	IMD AND	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	APPLICABLE	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2010	COL E * COL H	FY 2010	FY 2010
	SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE		PERCENT	ALLOTMENT IN FS	FMAP	ALLOTMENTS IN TC	IN TC	TC IMD LIMIT (Lesser of	IMD LIMIT IN FS U/ARRA
			Col B + C	Col C/D	UNDER ARRA		Col F/G		Col I or Col C)	Col G x J
ALABAMA	\$413,006,229	\$4,451,770	\$417,457,999	1.07%	\$317,692,797	68.01%	\$467,126,595	\$4,981,436	\$4,451,770	\$3,027,649
ARIZONA	\$93,916,100	\$28,474,900	\$122,391,000	23.27%	\$104,606,165	65.75%	\$159,096,829	\$37,014,701	\$28,474,900	\$18,722,247
CALIFORNIA	\$2,189,879,543	\$1,555,919	\$2,191,435,462	0.071%	\$1,132,587,735	50.00%	\$2,265,175,470	\$1,608,274	\$1,555,919	\$777,960
COLORADO	\$173,900,441	\$594,776	\$174,495,217	0.34%	\$95,566,125	50.00%	\$191,132,250	\$651,484	\$594,776	\$297,388
CONNECTICUT	\$303,359,275	\$105,573,725	\$408,933,000	25.82%	\$206,629,461	50.00%	\$413,258,922	\$106,690,543	\$105,573,725	\$52,786,863
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$39,532,234	\$6,545,136	\$46,077,370	14.20%	\$63,280,272	70.00%	\$90,400,389	\$12,841,072	\$6,545,136	\$4,581,595
FLORIDA	\$184,468,014	\$149,714,986	\$334,183,000	33.00%	\$206,629,461	54.98%	\$375,826,593	\$124,022,776	\$124,022,776	\$68,187,722
GEORGIA	\$407,343,557	\$0	\$407,343,557	0.00%	\$277,658,339	65.10%	\$426,510,505	\$0	\$0	\$0
HAWAII	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$10,000,000	54.24%	\$18,436,578	\$0	\$0	\$0
ILLINOIS	\$315,868,508	\$89,408,276	\$405,276,784	22.06%	\$222,126,671	50.17%	\$442,747,999	\$97,674,816	\$89,408,276	\$44,856,132
INDIANA	\$79,960,783	\$153,566,302	\$233,527,085	33.00%	\$220,835,237	65.93%	\$334,954,098	\$110,534,852	\$110,534,852	\$72,875,628
KANSAS	\$11,587,208	\$76,663,508	\$88,250,716	33.00%	\$42,617,327	60.38%	\$70,581,860	\$23,292,014	\$23,292,014	\$14,063,718
KENTUCKY	\$158,804,908	\$37,443,073	\$196,247,981	19.08%	\$149,806,360	70.96%	\$211,113,811	\$40,279,394	\$37,443,073	\$26,569,605
LOUISIANA	\$1,078,512,169	\$132,917,149	\$1,211,429,318	10.97%	\$769,015,475	67.61%	\$1,137,428,598	\$124,797,843	\$124,797,843	\$84,375,822
MAINE	\$99,957,958	\$60,958,342	\$160,916,300	33.00%	\$108,480,467	64.99%	\$166,918,706	\$55,083,173	\$55,083,173	\$35,798,554
MARYLAND	\$22,226,467	\$120,873,531	\$143,099,998	33.00%	\$78,777,483	50.00%	\$157,554,966	\$51,993,139	\$51,993,139	\$25,996,569
MASSACHUSETTS	\$469,653,946	\$105,635,054	\$575,289,000	18.36%	\$315,109,928	50.00%	\$630,219,856	\$115,721,504	\$105,635,054	\$52,817,527
MICHIGAN	\$133,258,800	\$304,765,552	\$438,024,352	33.00%	\$273,784,036	63.19%	\$433,271,144	\$142,979,478	\$142,979,478	\$90,348,732
MISSISSIPPI	\$182,608,033	\$0	\$182,608,033	0.00%	\$157,554,964	75.67%	\$208,213,247	\$0	\$0	\$0
MISSOURI	\$521,946,524	\$207,234,618	\$729,181,142	28.42%	\$489,453,536	64.51%	\$758,725,060	\$215,631,054	\$207,234,618	\$133,687,052
NEVADA	\$73,560,000	\$0	\$73,560,000	0.00%	\$47,783,063	50.16%	\$95,261,290	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$92,675,916	\$94,753,948	\$187,429,864	33.00%	\$165,405,357	50.00%	\$330,810,714	\$109,167,536	\$94,753,948	\$47,376,974
NEW JERSEY	\$736,742,539	\$357,370,461	\$1,094,113,000	32.66%	\$665,088,579	50.00%	\$1,330,177,158	\$434,476,169	\$357,370,461	\$178,685,231
NEW YORK	\$2,418,869,368	\$605,000,000	\$3,023,869,368	20.01%	\$1,659,492,862	50.00%	\$3,318,985,724	\$664,045,340	\$605,000,000	\$302,500,000

									CHART 4 - FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY:	2010
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL	IMD AND	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95	APPLICABLE	FY 2010	FY 2010	FY 2010	COL E * COL H	FY 2010	FY 2010
	SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	PERCENT	ALLOTMENT IN FS	FMAP	ALLOTMENTS IN TC	IN TC	TC IMD LIMIT (Lesser of	IMD LIMIT IN FS U/ARRA
				Col C/D	UNDER ARRA		Col F/G		Col I or Col C)	Col G x J
	Col B + C									
NORTH CAROLINA	\$193,201,966	\$236,072,627	\$429,274,593	33.00%	\$304,778,456	65.13%	\$467,954,024	\$154,424,828	\$154,424,828	\$100,576,890
OHIO	\$535,731,956	\$93,432,758	\$629,164,714	14.85%	\$419,716,094	63.42%	\$661,803,996	\$98,279,785	\$93,432,758	\$59,255,055
PENNSYLVANIA	\$388,207,319	\$579,199,682	\$967,407,001	33.00%	\$579,853,926	54.81%	\$1,057,934,548	\$349,118,401	\$349,118,401	\$191,351,796
RHODE ISLAND	\$108,503,167	\$2,397,833	\$110,901,000	2.16%	\$67,154,574	52.63%	\$127,597,519	\$2,758,835	\$2,397,833	\$1,261,980
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$366,681,364	\$72,076,341	\$438,757,705	16.43%	\$338,355,743	70.32%	\$481,165,732	\$79,042,864	\$72,076,341	\$50,684,083
TENNESSEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$305,451,928	65.57%	\$465,840,976	\$0	\$0	\$0
TEXAS	\$1,220,515,401	\$292,513,592	\$1,513,028,993	19.33%	\$987,947,112	58.73%	\$1,682,184,764	\$325,216,443	\$292,513,592	\$171,793,233
VERMONT	\$19,979,252	\$9,071,297	\$29,050,549	31.23%	\$23,245,815	58.73%	\$39,580,819	\$12,359,469	\$9,071,297	\$5,327,573
VIRGINIA	\$129,313,480	\$7,770,268	\$137,083,748	5.67%	\$90,511,529	50.00%	\$181,023,058	\$10,260,864	\$7,770,268	\$3,885,134
WASHINGTON	\$171,725,815	\$163,836,435	\$335,562,250	33.00%	\$191,132,252	50.12%	\$381,349,266	\$125,845,258	\$125,845,258	\$63,073,643
WEST VIRGINIA	\$66,962,606	\$18,887,045	\$85,849,651	22.00%	\$69,737,443	74.04%	\$94,188,875	\$20,721,686	\$18,887,045	\$13,983,968
TOTAL	\$13,402,460,846	\$4,118,758,904	\$17,521,219,750		\$11,157,866,572		\$19,674,551,938	\$3,651,515,030	\$3,402,282,551	\$1,919,526,323
LOW DSH STATES										
ALASKA	\$2,506,827	\$17,611,765	\$20,118,592	33.00%	\$21,044,894	51.43%	\$40,919,491	\$13,503,432	\$13,503,432	\$6,944,815
ARKANSAS	\$2,422,649	\$819,351	\$3,242,000	25.27%	\$44,567,684	72.78%	\$61,236,169	\$15,476,223	\$819,351	\$596,324
DELAWARE	\$0	\$7,069,000	\$7,069,000	33.00%	\$9,353,286	50.21%	\$18,628,333	\$6,147,350	\$6,147,350	\$3,086,584
IDAHO	\$2,081,429	\$0	\$2,081,429	0.00%	\$16,982,360	69.40%	\$24,470,259	\$0	\$0	\$0
IOWA	\$12,011,250	\$0	\$12,011,250	0.00%	\$40,686,518	63.51%	\$64,063,168	\$0	\$0	\$0
MINNESOTA	\$24,240,000	\$5,257,214	\$29,497,214	17.82%	\$77,164,611	50.00%	\$154,329,222	\$27,505,708	\$5,257,214	\$2,628,607
MONTANA	\$237,048	\$0	\$237,048	0.00%	\$11,727,024	67.42%	\$17,393,984	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEBRASKA	\$6,449,102	\$1,811,337	\$8,260,439	21.93%	\$29,236,232	60.56%	\$48,276,473	\$10,585,994	\$1,811,337	\$1,096,946
NEW MEXICO	\$6,490,015	\$254,786	\$6,744,801	3.78%	\$21,044,894	71.35%	\$29,495,296	\$1,114,190	\$254,786	\$181,790

									CHART 4 - FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY:	2010
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C	APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D	FY 2010 ALLOTMENT IN FS UNDER ARRA	FY 2010 FMAP	FY 2010 ALLOTMENTS IN TC Col F/G	COL E * COL H IN TC	FY 2010 TC IMD LIMIT (Lesser of Col I or Col C)	FY 2010 IMD LIMIT IN FS U/ARRA Col G x J
NORTH DAKOTA	\$214,523	\$988,478	\$1,203,001	33.00%	\$9,868,604	63.01%	\$15,661,965	\$5,168,448	\$988,478	\$622,840
OKLAHOMA	\$20,019,969	\$3,273,248	\$23,293,217	14.05%	\$37,413,143	64.43%	\$58,067,892	\$8,159,912	\$3,273,248	\$2,108,954
OREGON	\$11,437,908	\$19,975,092	\$31,413,000	33.00%	\$46,766,430	62.74%	\$74,540,054	\$24,598,218	\$19,975,092	\$12,532,373
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$321,120	\$751,299	\$1,072,419	33.00%	\$11,410,747	62.72%	\$18,193,155	\$6,003,741	\$751,299	\$471,215
UTAH	\$3,621,116	\$934,586	\$4,555,702	20.51%	\$20,268,267	71.68%	\$28,276,042	\$5,800,729	\$934,586	\$669,911
WISCONSIN	\$6,609,524	\$4,492,011	\$11,101,535	33.00%	\$97,666,330	60.21%	\$162,209,483	\$53,529,130	\$4,492,011	\$2,704,640
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$233,831	50.00%	\$467,662	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES	\$98,662,480	\$63,238,167	\$161,900,647		\$495,434,855		\$816,228,650	\$177,593,076	\$58,208,184	\$33,644,999
TOTAL	\$13,501,123,326	\$4,181,997,071	\$17,683,120,397		\$11,157,866,572		\$20,490,780,588	\$3,829,108,106	\$3,460,490,735	\$1,953,171,322

Key to Chart 5. Preliminary IMD DSH Limit for FY 2011

Key to Chart 5.--FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2011 [Key to the Chart of the FY 2011 IMD Limitations.--The final FY 2011 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the final FY 2011 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart	
Column	Description
Column A	State.
Column B	Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD and Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	Applicable Percent Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total Computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D) Per section 1923(h)(2)(A)(ii)(III) Of the Act, for FYs after FY 2002, the applicable Percentage can be no greater than 33 percent.
Column F	FY 2011 Federal Share DSH Allotment. This column contains the States' final FY 2011 DSH allotments.
Column G	FY 2011 FMAP. This columns contains the States' FY 2010 FMAPs

INFORMATION NOT RELEASABLE TO THE PUBLIC UNLESS AUTHORIZED BY LAW:

This information has not been publicly disclosed and may be privileged and confidential. It is for internal government use only and must not be disseminated, distributed, or copied to persons not authorized to receive the information. Unauthorized disclosure may result in prosecution to the full extent of the law.

Key to Chart 5.--FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2011 [Key to the Chart of the FY 2011 IMD Limitations.--The final FY 2011 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the final FY 2011 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart	
Column	Description
Column H	FY 2011 DSH Allotments in Total Computable Col. F/G. This column contains States' FY 2011 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	Col E * Col H in TC. This column contains the applicable percent of FY 2010 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as the percentage in Column E multiplied by the amount in Column H)
Column J	FY 2011 TC IMD DSH Limit. Lesser of Col. C or I. This column contains the FY 2011 TC IMD DSH Limit equal to the lesser of the amount in Column C or Column I.
Column K	FY 2011 IMD DSH Limit in Federal Share, Col. G x J. This column contains the FY 2011 Federal share IMD DSH limit determined by converting the total computable FY 2011 IMD DSH Limit from Column J into a Federal share amount by multiplying it by the FY 2011 FMAP in Column G.

CHART 5 - FINAL IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2011

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C	APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D	FY 2011 ALLOTMENT IN FS	FY 2011 FMAP	FY 2011 ALLOTMENTS IN TC Col F/G	COL E * COL H IN TC	FY 2011 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF Col I or Col C)	FY 2011 IMD LIMIT IN FS Col G x J
ALABAMA	\$413,006,229	\$4,451,770	\$417,457,999	1.07%	\$307,525,116	68.54%	\$448,679,772	\$4,784,719	\$4,451,770	\$3,051,243
ARIZONA	\$93,916,100	\$28,474,900	\$122,391,000	23.27%	\$101,258,270	65.85%	\$153,771,101	\$35,775,643	\$28,474,900	\$18,750,722
CALIFORNIA	\$2,189,879,543	\$1,555,919	\$2,191,435,462	0.071%	\$1,096,339,537	50.00%	\$2,192,679,074	\$1,556,802	\$1,555,919	\$777,960
COLORADO	\$173,900,441	\$594,776	\$174,495,217	0.34%	\$92,507,555	50.00%	\$185,015,110	\$630,634	\$594,776	\$297,388
CONNECTICUT	\$303,359,275	\$105,573,725	\$408,933,000	25.82%	\$200,016,335	50.00%	\$400,032,670	\$103,275,938	\$103,275,938	\$51,637,969
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$39,532,234	\$6,545,136	\$46,077,370	14.20%	\$61,255,002	70.00%	\$87,507,146	\$12,430,097	\$6,545,136	\$4,581,595
FLORIDA	\$184,468,014	\$149,714,986	\$334,183,000	33.00%	\$200,016,335	55.45%	\$360,714,761	\$119,035,871	\$119,035,871	\$66,005,391
GEORGIA	\$407,343,557	\$0	\$407,343,557	0.00%	\$268,771,950	65.33%	\$411,406,628	\$0	\$0	\$0
HAWAII	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$10,000,000	51.79%	\$19,308,747	\$0	\$0	\$0
ILLINOIS	\$315,868,508	\$89,408,276	\$405,276,784	22.06%	\$215,017,560	50.20%	\$428,321,833	\$94,492,254	\$89,408,276	\$44,882,955
INDIANA	\$79,960,783	\$153,566,302	\$233,527,085	33.00%	\$213,767,459	66.52%	\$321,358,176	\$106,048,198	\$106,048,198	\$70,543,261
KANSAS	\$11,587,208	\$76,663,508	\$88,250,716	33.00%	\$41,253,369	59.05%	\$69,861,760	\$23,054,381	\$23,054,381	\$13,613,612
KENTUCKY	\$158,804,908	\$37,443,073	\$196,247,981	19.08%	\$145,011,843	71.49%	\$202,842,136	\$38,701,203	\$37,443,073	\$26,768,053
LOUISIANA	\$1,078,512,169	\$132,917,149	\$1,211,429,318	10.97%	\$731,960,000	64.72%	\$1,130,964,153	\$124,088,569	\$124,088,569	\$80,310,122
MAINE	\$99,957,958	\$60,958,342	\$160,916,300	33.00%	\$105,008,576	63.80%	\$164,590,245	\$54,314,781	\$54,314,781	\$34,652,830
MARYLAND	\$22,226,467	\$120,873,531	\$143,099,998	33.00%	\$76,256,228	50.00%	\$152,512,456	\$50,329,110	\$50,329,110	\$25,164,555
MASSACHUSETTS	\$469,653,946	\$105,635,054	\$575,289,000	18.36%	\$305,024,911	50.00%	\$610,049,822	\$112,017,866	\$105,635,054	\$52,817,527
MICHIGAN	\$133,258,800	\$304,765,552	\$438,024,352	33.00%	\$265,021,644	65.79%	\$402,829,676	\$132,933,793	\$132,933,793	\$87,457,143
MISSISSIPPI	\$182,608,033	\$0	\$182,608,033	0.00%	\$152,512,455	74.73%	\$204,084,645	\$0	\$0	\$0
MISSOURI	\$521,946,524	\$207,234,618	\$729,181,142	28.42%	\$473,788,694	63.29%	\$748,599,611	\$212,753,383	\$207,234,618	\$131,158,790
NEVADA	\$73,560,000	\$0	\$73,560,000	0.00%	\$46,253,777	51.61%	\$89,621,734	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$92,675,916	\$94,753,948	\$187,429,864	33.00%	\$160,111,598	50.00%	\$320,223,196	\$105,673,655	\$94,753,948	\$47,376,974
NEW JERSEY	\$736,742,539	\$357,370,461	\$1,094,113,000	32.66%	\$643,802,579	50.00%	\$1,287,605,158	\$420,570,863	\$357,370,461	\$178,685,231
NEW YORK	\$2,418,869,368	\$605,000,000	\$3,023,869,368	20.01%	\$1,606,381,192	50.00%	\$3,212,762,384	\$642,792,729	\$605,000,000	\$302,500,000

INFORMATION NOT RELEASABLE TO THE PUBLIC UNLESS AUTHORIZED BY LAW:

This information has not been publicly disclosed and may be privileged and confidential. It is for internal government use only and must not be disseminated, distributed, or copied to persons not authorized to receive the information. Unauthorized disclosure may result in prosecution to the full extent of the law.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C	APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D	FY 2011 ALLOTMENT IN FS	FY 2011 FMAP	FY 2011 ALLOTMENTS IN TC Col F/G	COL E * COL H IN TC	FY 2011 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF Col I or Col C)	FY 2011 IMD LIMIT IN FS Col G x J
NORTH CAROLINA	\$193,201,966	\$236,072,627	\$429,274,593	33.00%	\$295,024,095	64.71%	\$455,917,316	\$150,452,714	\$150,452,714	\$97,357,951
OHIO	\$535,731,956	\$93,432,758	\$629,164,714	14.85%	\$406,283,181	63.69%	\$637,907,334	\$94,731,062	\$93,432,758	\$59,507,324
PENNSYLVANIA	\$388,207,319	\$579,199,682	\$967,407,001	33.00%	\$561,295,840	55.64%	\$1,008,799,137	\$332,903,715	\$332,903,715	\$185,227,627
RHODE ISLAND	\$108,503,167	\$2,397,833	\$110,901,000	2.16%	\$65,005,309	52.97%	\$122,720,991	\$2,653,398	\$2,397,833	\$1,270,132
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$366,681,364	\$72,076,341	\$438,757,705	16.43%	\$327,526,749	70.04%	\$467,628,140	\$76,818,993	\$72,076,341	\$50,482,269
TENNESSEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$305,451,928	65.85%	\$463,860,179	\$0	\$0	\$0
TEXAS	\$1,220,515,401	\$292,513,592	\$1,513,028,993	19.33%	\$956,328,103	60.56%	\$1,579,141,518	\$305,295,113	\$292,513,592	\$177,146,231
VERMONT	\$19,979,252	\$9,071,297	\$29,050,549	31.23%	\$22,501,838	58.71%	\$38,327,096	\$11,967,983	\$9,071,297	\$5,325,758
VIRGINIA	\$129,313,480	\$7,770,268	\$137,083,748	5.67%	\$87,614,730	50.00%	\$175,229,460	\$9,932,467	\$7,770,268	\$3,885,134
WASHINGTON	\$171,725,815	\$163,836,435	\$335,562,250	33.00%	\$185,015,110	50.00%	\$370,030,220	\$122,109,973	\$122,109,973	\$61,054,986
WEST VIRGINIA	\$66,962,606	\$18,887,045	\$85,849,651	22.00%	\$67,505,513	73.24%	\$92,170,280	\$20,277,592	\$18,887,045	\$13,832,872
TOTAL	\$13,402,460,846	\$4,118,758,904	\$17,521,219,750		\$10,798,414,381		\$19,017,073,664	\$3,522,403,498	\$3,353,164,109	\$1,896,123,605
LOW DSH STATES										
ALASKA	\$2,506,827	\$17,611,765	\$20,118,592	33.00%	\$20,371,357	50.00%	\$40,742,714	\$13,445,096	\$13,445,096	\$6,722,548
ARKANSAS	\$2,422,649	\$819,351	\$3,242,000	25.27%	\$43,141,306	71.37%	\$60,447,395	\$15,276,877	\$819,351	\$584,771
DELAWARE	\$0	\$7,069,000	\$7,069,000	33.00%	\$9,053,936	53.15%	\$17,034,687	\$5,621,447	\$5,621,447	\$2,987,799
IDAHO	\$2,081,429	\$0	\$2,081,429	0.00%	\$16,438,844	68.85%	\$23,876,317	\$0	\$0	\$0
IOWA	\$12,011,250	\$0	\$12,011,250	0.00%	\$39,384,356	62.63%	\$62,884,171	\$0	\$0	\$0
MINNESOTA	\$24,240,000	\$5,257,214	\$29,497,214	17.82%	\$74,694,976	50.00%	\$149,389,952	\$26,625,394	\$5,257,214	\$2,628,607
MONTANA	\$237,048	\$0	\$237,048	0.00%	\$11,351,703	66.81%	\$16,991,024	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEBRASKA	\$6,449,102	\$1,811,337	\$8,260,439	21.93%	\$28,300,533	58.44%	\$48,426,648	\$10,618,925	\$1,811,337	\$1,058,545
NEW MEXICO	\$6,490,015	\$254,786	\$6,744,801	3.78%	\$20,371,357	69.78%	\$29,193,690	\$1,102,797	\$254,786	\$177,790
NORTH DAKOTA	\$214,523	\$988,478	\$1,203,001	33.00%	\$9,552,761	60.35%	\$15,828,933	\$5,223,548	\$988,478	\$596,546
OKLAHOMA	\$20,019,969	\$3,273,248	\$23,293,217	14.05%	\$36,215,744	64.94%	\$55,768,007	\$7,836,724	\$3,273,248	\$2,125,647
OREGON	\$11,437,908	\$19,975,092	\$31,413,000	33.00%	\$45,269,681	62.85%	\$72,028,132	\$23,769,284	\$19,975,092	\$12,554,345

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C	APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D	FY 2011 ALLOTMENT IN FS	FY 2011 FMAP	FY 2011 ALLOTMENTS IN TC Col F/G	COL E * COL H IN TC	FY 2011 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF Col I or Col C)	FY 2011 IMD LIMIT IN FS Col G x J
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$321,120	\$751,299	\$1,072,419	33.00%	\$11,045,549	61.25%	\$18,033,549	\$5,951,071	\$751,299	\$460,171
UTAH	\$3,621,116	\$934,586	\$4,555,702	20.51%	\$19,619,586	71.13%	\$27,582,716	\$5,658,496	\$934,586	\$664,771
WISCONSIN	\$6,609,524	\$4,492,011	\$11,101,535	33.00%	\$94,540,543	60.16%	\$157,148,509	\$51,859,008	\$4,492,011	\$2,702,394
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$226,348	50.00%	\$452,696	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES	\$98,662,480	\$63,238,167	\$161,900,647		\$479,578,580		\$795,829,140	\$172,988,665	\$57,623,944	\$33,263,934
TOTAL	\$13,501,123,326	\$4,181,997,071	\$17,683,120,397		\$11,277,992,961		\$19,812,902,804	\$3,695,392,162	\$3,410,788,053	\$1,929,387,539

Key to Chart 6. Preliminary IMD DSH Limit for FY 2012

Key to Chart 6.--PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2012 [Key to the Chart of the FY 2012 IMD Limitations.--The preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart]	
Column	Description
Column A	State.
Column B	Inpatient Hospital Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the States' total computable FY 1995 inpatient hospital DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64.
Column C	IMD and Mental Health Services FY 95 DSH Total Computable. This column contains the total computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997.
Column D	Total Inpatient & IMD & Mental Health FY 95 DSH Total Computable, Col. B + C. This column contains the total computation of all inpatient hospital DSH expenditures and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 as reported on the Form CMS-64 as of January 1, 1997 (representing the sum of Column B and Column C).
Column E	Applicable Percent Col. C/D. This column contains the "applicable percentage" representing the total Computable FY 1995 mental health facility DSH expenditures divided by total computable all inpatient hospital and mental health facility DSH expenditures for FY 1995 (the amount in Column C divided by the amount in Column D) Per section 1923(h)(2)(A)(ii)(III) Of the Act, for FYs after FY 2002, the applicable Percentage can be no greater than 33 percent.
Column F	FY 2012 Federal Share DSH Allotment. This column contains the States' preliminary FY 2012 DSH allotments.
Column G	FY 2012 FMAP. This columns contains the States' FY 2010 FMAPs

INFORMATION NOT RELEASABLE TO THE PUBLIC UNLESS AUTHORIZED BY LAW:

This information has not been publicly disclosed and may be privileged and confidential. It is for internal government use only and must not be disseminated, distributed, or copied to persons not authorized to receive the information. Unauthorized disclosure may result in prosecution to the full extent of the law.

Key to Chart 6.--PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2012
[Key to the Chart of the FY 2012 IMD Limitations.--The preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Non-Low DSH States are presented in the top section of this chart and the preliminary FY 2012 IMD DSH Limits for the Low-DSH States are presented in the bottom section of the chart

Column	Description
Column H	FY 2012 DSH Allotments in Total Computable Col. F/G. This column contains States' FY 2012 total computable DSH allotment (determined as Column F/Column G).
Column I	Col E * Col H in TC. This column contains the applicable percent of FY 2012 total computable DSH allotment (calculated as the percentage in Column E multiplied by the amount in Column H)
Column J	FY 2012 TC IMD DSH Limit. Lesser of Col. C or I. This column contains the FY 2012 TC IMD DSH Limit equal to the lesser of the amount in Column C or Column I.
Column K	FY 2012 IMD DSH Limit in Federal Share, Col. G x J. This column contains the FY 2012 Federal share IMD DSH limit determined by converting the total computable FY 2012 IMD DSH Limit from Column J into a Federal share amount by multiplying it by the FY 2012 FMAP in Column G.

CHART 6 - PRELIMINARY IMD DSH LIMIT FOR FY: 2012

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C	APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D	FY 2012 ALLOTMENT IN FS	FY 2012 FMAP	FY 2012 ALLOTMENTS IN TC Col F/G	COL E * COL H IN TC	FY 2012 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF Col I or Col C)	FY 2012 IMD LIMIT IN FS Col G x J
ALABAMA	\$413,006,229	\$4,451,770	\$417,457,999	1.07%	\$314,905,719	68.62%	\$458,912,444	\$4,893,840	\$4,451,770	\$3,054,805
ARIZONA	\$93,916,100	\$28,474,900	\$122,391,000	23.27%	\$103,688,468	67.30%	\$154,069,046	\$35,844,961	\$28,474,900	\$19,163,608
CALIFORNIA	\$2,189,879,543	\$1,555,919	\$2,191,435,462	0.071%	\$1,122,651,686	50.00%	\$2,245,303,372	\$1,594,165	\$1,555,919	\$777,960
COLORADO	\$173,900,441	\$594,776	\$174,495,217	0.34%	\$94,727,736	50.00%	\$189,455,472	\$645,769	\$594,776	\$297,388
CONNECTICUT	\$303,359,275	\$105,573,725	\$408,933,000	25.82%	\$204,816,727	50.00%	\$409,633,454	\$105,754,560	\$105,573,725	\$52,786,863
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$39,532,234	\$6,545,136	\$46,077,370	14.20%	\$62,725,122	70.00%	\$89,607,317	\$12,728,419	\$6,545,136	\$4,581,595
FLORIDA	\$184,468,014	\$149,714,986	\$334,183,000	33.00%	\$204,816,727	56.04%	\$365,483,096	\$120,609,422	\$120,609,422	\$67,589,520
GEORGIA	\$407,343,557	\$0	\$407,343,557	0.00%	\$275,222,477	66.16%	\$415,995,280	\$0	\$0	\$0
HAWAII	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$10,000,000	50.48%	\$19,809,826	\$0	\$0	\$0
ILLINOIS	\$315,868,508	\$89,408,276	\$405,276,784	22.06%	\$220,177,981	50.00%	\$440,355,962	\$97,147,108	\$89,408,276	\$44,704,138
INDIANA	\$79,960,783	\$153,566,302	\$233,527,085	33.00%	\$218,897,878	66.96%	\$326,908,420	\$107,879,779	\$107,879,779	\$72,236,300
KANSAS	\$11,587,208	\$76,663,508	\$88,250,716	33.00%	\$42,243,450	56.91%	\$74,228,519	\$24,495,411	\$24,495,411	\$13,940,339
KENTUCKY	\$158,804,908	\$37,443,073	\$196,247,981	19.08%	\$148,492,127	71.18%	\$208,614,958	\$39,802,627	\$37,443,073	\$26,651,979
LOUISIANA	\$1,078,512,169	\$132,917,149	\$1,211,429,318	10.97%	\$731,960,000	69.78%	\$1,048,953,855	\$115,090,459	\$115,090,459	\$80,310,122
MAINE	\$99,957,958	\$60,958,342	\$160,916,300	33.00%	\$107,528,782	63.27%	\$169,952,240	\$56,084,239	\$56,084,239	\$35,484,498
MARYLAND	\$22,226,467	\$120,873,531	\$143,099,998	33.00%	\$78,086,377	50.00%	\$156,172,754	\$51,537,009	\$51,537,009	\$25,768,504
MASSACHUSETTS	\$469,653,946	\$105,635,054	\$575,289,000	18.36%	\$312,345,509	50.00%	\$624,691,018	\$114,706,294	\$105,635,054	\$52,817,527
MICHIGAN	\$133,258,800	\$304,765,552	\$438,024,352	33.00%	\$271,382,163	66.14%	\$410,314,731	\$135,403,861	\$135,403,861	\$89,556,114
MISSISSIPPI	\$182,608,033	\$0	\$182,608,033	0.00%	\$156,172,754	74.18%	\$210,532,157	\$0	\$0	\$0
MISSOURI	\$521,946,524	\$207,234,618	\$729,181,142	28.42%	\$485,159,623	63.45%	\$764,632,976	\$217,310,094	\$207,234,618	\$131,490,365
NEVADA	\$73,560,000	\$0	\$73,560,000	0.00%	\$47,363,868	56.20%	\$84,277,345	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$92,675,916	\$94,753,948	\$187,429,864	33.00%	\$163,954,276	50.00%	\$327,908,552	\$108,209,822	\$94,753,948	\$47,376,974
NEW JERSEY	\$736,742,539	\$357,370,461	\$1,094,113,000	32.66%	\$659,253,841	50.00%	\$1,318,507,682	\$430,664,564	\$357,370,461	\$178,685,231
NEW YORK	\$2,418,869,368	\$605,000,000	\$3,023,869,368	20.01%	\$1,644,934,341	50.00%	\$3,289,868,682	\$658,219,754	\$605,000,000	\$302,500,000
NORTH CAROLINA	\$193,201,966	\$236,072,627	\$429,274,593	33.00%	\$302,104,673	65.28%	\$462,782,894	\$152,718,355	\$152,718,355	\$99,694,542

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C	APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D	FY 2012 ALLOTMENT IN FS	FY 2012 FMAP	FY 2012 ALLOTMENTS IN TC Col F/G	COL E * COL H IN TC	FY 2012 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF Col I or Col C)	FY 2012 IMD LIMIT IN FS Col G x J
OHIO	\$535,731,956	\$93,432,758	\$629,164,714	14.85%	\$416,033,977	64.15%	\$648,533,090	\$96,309,017	\$93,432,758	\$59,937,114
PENNSYLVANIA	\$388,207,319	\$579,199,682	\$967,407,001	33.00%	\$574,766,940	55.07%	\$1,043,702,451	\$344,421,809	\$344,421,809	\$189,673,090
RHODE ISLAND	\$108,503,167	\$2,397,833	\$110,901,000	2.16%	\$66,565,436	52.12%	\$127,715,725	\$2,761,391	\$2,397,833	\$1,249,751
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$366,681,364	\$72,076,341	\$438,757,705	16.43%	\$335,387,391	70.24%	\$477,487,743	\$78,438,667	\$72,076,341	\$50,626,422
TENNESSEE	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$123,562,982	66.36%	\$186,200,998	\$0	\$0	\$0
TEXAS	\$1,220,515,401	\$292,513,592	\$1,513,028,993	19.33%	\$979,279,977	58.22%	\$1,682,033,626	\$325,187,224	\$292,513,592	\$170,301,413
VERMONT	\$19,979,252	\$9,071,297	\$29,050,549	31.23%	\$23,041,882	57.58%	\$40,017,162	\$12,495,721	\$9,071,297	\$5,223,253
VIRGINIA	\$129,313,480	\$7,770,268	\$137,083,748	5.67%	\$89,717,484	50.00%	\$179,434,968	\$10,170,847	\$7,770,268	\$3,885,134
WASHINGTON	\$171,725,815	\$163,836,435	\$335,562,250	33.00%	\$189,455,473	50.00%	\$378,910,946	\$125,040,612	\$125,040,612	\$62,520,306
WEST VIRGINIA	\$66,962,606	\$18,887,045	\$85,849,651	22.00%	\$69,125,645	72.62%	\$95,188,164	\$20,941,531	\$18,887,045	\$13,715,772
TOTAL	\$13,402,460,846	\$4,118,758,904	\$17,521,219,750		\$10,850,549,492		\$19,126,196,924	\$3,607,107,331	\$3,373,471,745	\$1,906,600,627
LOW DSH STATES										
ALASKA	\$2,506,827	\$17,611,765	\$20,118,592	33.00%	\$20,860,270	50.00%	\$41,720,540	\$13,767,778	\$13,767,778	\$6,883,889
ARKANSAS	\$2,422,649	\$819,351	\$3,242,000	25.27%	\$44,176,697	70.71%	\$62,475,883	\$15,789,537	\$819,351	\$579,363
DELAWARE	\$0	\$7,069,000	\$7,069,000	33.00%	\$9,271,230	54.17%	\$17,115,064	\$5,647,971	\$5,647,971	\$3,059,506
IDAHO	\$2,081,429	\$0	\$2,081,429	0.00%	\$16,833,376	70.23%	\$23,968,925	\$0	\$0	\$0
IOWA	\$12,011,250	\$0	\$12,011,250	0.00%	\$40,329,581	60.71%	\$66,429,881	\$0	\$0	\$0
MINNESOTA	\$24,240,000	\$5,257,214	\$29,497,214	17.82%	\$76,487,655	50.00%	\$152,975,310	\$27,264,403	\$5,257,214	\$2,628,607
MONTANA	\$237,048	\$0	\$237,048	0.00%	\$11,624,144	66.11%	\$17,583,034	\$0	\$0	\$0
NEBRASKA	\$6,449,102	\$1,811,337	\$8,260,439	21.93%	\$28,979,746	56.64%	\$51,164,806	\$11,219,344	\$1,811,337	\$1,025,941
NEW MEXICO	\$6,490,015	\$254,786	\$6,744,801	3.78%	\$20,860,270	69.36%	\$30,075,360	\$1,136,102	\$254,786	\$176,720
NORTH DAKOTA	\$214,523	\$988,478	\$1,203,001	33.00%	\$9,782,027	55.40%	\$17,657,088	\$5,826,839	\$988,478	\$547,617
OKLAHOMA	\$20,019,969	\$3,273,248	\$23,293,217	14.05%	\$37,084,922	63.88%	\$58,054,042	\$8,157,966	\$3,273,248	\$2,090,951
OREGON	\$11,437,908	\$19,975,092	\$31,413,000	33.00%	\$46,356,153	62.91%	\$73,686,462	\$24,316,532	\$19,975,092	\$12,566,330
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$321,120	\$751,299	\$1,072,419	33.00%	\$11,310,642	59.13%	\$19,128,432	\$6,312,383	\$751,299	\$444,243
UTAH	\$3,621,116	\$934,586	\$4,555,702	20.51%	\$20,090,456	70.99%	\$28,300,403	\$5,805,727	\$934,586	\$663,463

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
STATE	INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	IMD AND MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE	TOTAL INPATIENT & IMD & MENTAL HEALTH FY 95 DSH TOTAL COMPUTABLE Col B + C	APPLICABLE PERCENT Col C/D	FY 2012 ALLOTMENT IN FS	FY 2012 FMAP	FY 2012 ALLOTMENTS IN TC Col F/G	COL E * COL H IN TC	FY 2012 TC IMD LIMIT (LESSER OF Col I or Col C)	FY 2012 IMD LIMIT IN FS Col G x J
WISCONSIN	\$6,609,524	\$4,492,011	\$11,101,535	33.00%	\$96,809,516	60.53%	\$159,936,422	\$52,779,019	\$4,492,011	\$2,719,014
WYOMING	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$231,780	50.00%	\$463,560	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL LOW DSH STATES	\$98,662,480	\$63,238,167	\$161,900,647		\$491,088,465		\$820,735,213	\$178,023,601	\$57,973,151	\$33,385,644
TOTAL	\$13,501,123,326	\$4,181,997,071	\$17,683,120,397		\$11,341,637,957		\$19,946,932,136	\$3,785,130,932	\$3,431,444,896	\$1,939,986,271